RESEARCH

Open Access



Investigation of SARS-CoV-2 in tear and conjunctival secretions of hospitalized patients with clinically-confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia

Yunus Karabela^{1,5*}, Semsi Nur Karabela², Mehmet Ozbas³, Havva Kasikci⁴, and Kadriye Kart Yasar²

Abstract

Background: The aim of this study was to demonstrate the presence of the virus in tear and conjunctival secretions of clinically-confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia patients.

Methods: This prospective study was conducted at Bakirkoy Dr. Sadi Konuk Training and Research Hospital (2020/190). Nasopharyngeal and ocular samples were obtained by swab technique and investigated by RT-PCR.

Results: A total of 83 patients were included. The mean age was 61.88 ± 16.04 years. 28.92% of the patients had mild, 65.06% moderate and 6.02% severe pneumonia radiologically. RT-PCR was positive in 31 (37.35%) patients in the first nasopharyngeal swabs and in 19 (22.89%) in the second swabs. 17 of 19 patients had positive both first and second nasopharyngeal swabs; only the second swabs of two patients were positive. The first conjunctival swabs RT-PCR were positive in 5 out of 83 clinically-confirmed patients or 33 laboratory-confirmed patients (rates: 6.02% and 15.15%). There were no positives detected in the second conjunctival swabs.

Conclusions: SARS-CoV-2 can be detected in the conjunctival swabs of patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. **Keywords:** Conjunctival swab, COVID-19, Nasopharyngeal swab, Pneumonia, SARS-CoV-2

Background

When the World Health Organization's China Office reported cases of pneumonia of unknown etiology resembling viral pneumonia in Wuhan, Hubei Province, China on December 31, 2019, no one could have predicted that one of the greatest outbreaks of the last century had begun. But shortly after its emergence, the whole world would realize what a great threat it faced [1, 2]. On January 7, 2020, the potential causative agent was identified by Chinese authorities as a novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV)

*Correspondence: drykarabela@gmail.com; yunus.karabela@sbu.edu.tr ¹ Opticianry Program, University of Health Sciences, Uskudar, Istanbul, Turkey

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



that had not been previously identified in humans [2]. On January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared the outbreak to be a public health emergency of international concern. On February 11, 2020, the Coronaviridae Study Group of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses named the etiologic agent of COVID-19 as "severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) because of its close similarity to SARS-CoV. On the same day, the WHO officially named the infection as the disease COVID-19, shortened form of "coronavirus disease 2019. On March 11, 2020, WHO announced the COVID-19 outbreak as the first pandemic caused by a coronavirus due to the alarming levels of spreading and severity in 114 countries [3]. In our country (Turkey) the first confirmed COVID-19 case

© The Author(s) 2021. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

was announced by the Turkish Health Minister on March 11, 2020 [4]. Despite all the global measures taken and all efforts in diagnosis and treatment, the number of people suffering from the disease and dying has been increasing day by day and the danger has been continuing. Scientists and researchers have been working with extraordinary diligence to unravel the mystery of the disease and the virus, and to prevent its known consequences since its inception.

The primary transmission of COVID-19 is from human-to-human through respiratory droplets and contact routes. Other possible routes of transmission are not clearly known and controversial [5, 6]. Anatomically, the eye is an organ that is easily exposed to respiratory viruses directly or indirectly and also connected to the upper respiratory tract through the nasolacrimal canal (NLC). Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 through infected ocular tissue or secretions has been a controversy, but it is supposed that the nasolacrimal system can work as a natural canal for viruses to pass from the eye to the upper respiratory tract or vice versa. Thus, ocular tissue and secretions may be a potential source of SARS-CoV-2 [7, 8].

This study aimed to investigate the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in tear and conjunctival secretions of patients with clinically-confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia by using quantitative real time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) test.

Materials and methods

This prospective observational case series study was conducted in 83 hospitalized patients with clinicallyconfirmed COVID-19 pneumonia at Bakirkoy Dr. Sadi Konuk Training and Research Hospital between May 10 and July 15, 2020, in Istanbul, Turkey.

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) Patients older than 18 years of age, (2) patients confirmed as COVID-19 pneumonia following radiological and clinical evaluation and decided to be hospitalized, (3) patients who did not receive any treatment for COVID-19, (4) patients whose nasopharyngeal samples and, tear and conjunctival secretion samples were obtained at the same time (within 3 h) for RT-PCR tests. The exclusion criteria were: (1) outpatients with pneumonia, (2) intensive care unit patients, (3) COVID-19 patients without pneumonia, (4) patients < 18 years of age. Pneumonia was classified radiologically as mild (<25% involvement), moderate (26-74% involvement) and severe (>75% involvement) by experienced radiologists according to the total severity scores of the lung involvement on CT using a semi-quantitative scoring system based on international standards [**9**].

Nasopharyngeal and ocular secretion samples of all patients were collected on the first day of hospitalization and on the second (considering the discharge status) or the third day. Body temperatures of all patients were measured before conjunctival swab procedures. Nasopharyngeal samples were taken by the experienced healthcare workers, and ocular samples were taken by a senior ophthalmologist (YK) wearing personal protective equipment. Tear and conjunctival secretions were collected with conjunctival swab technique. Without topical anesthesia, the lower lid was pulled down slightly, and a commercial disposable sterile cotton-tipped swab was placed in the lower fornix. Ocular samples were collected by gently moving the swab from the nasal to the temporal by rotating it around itself. The end of the swab stick was broken and placed in a viral transport tube. Samples from both eyes were taken separately and combined in the same tube. Gloves were changed during sampling to avoid cross-contamination.

Demographic, clinical, laboratory and radiologic data were obtained from patients' electronic medical records and from records kept during the samplings.

Quantitative real-time PCR analysis

SARS-CoV-2 RNA detection in nasopharyngeal and ocular samples was performed by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). All samples taken for genomic RNA isolation were transferred to Biospeedy transfer tubes (Bioeksen, Turkey) containing 2 ml of nucleic acid preservative liquid and transported to the laboratory at 2-8 °C. All specimens were processed in biosafety level-3 (BSL-3) with full personal protective equipment. QIAsymphony DSP Virus/Pathogen Kits and a QIAsymphony isolation device (Qiagen, Germany) were used for RNA extraction. All ocular samples were studied two times with Bio-Speedy® Direct RT-qPCR SARS-CoV-2 Kits (Bioeksen R&D Technologies Inc., Turkey) targeting RdRp gene in nucleic acid isolates obtained from eye samples and one time with The DirectDetect[™] SARS-CoV-2 qPCR Kits, PCR-Fluorescence Probe, (Coyote Bioscience Co., Ltd; China) targeting Orf1ab gene and N gene in accordance with kit protocols. All nasopharyngeal samples (first or second) were studied with only one of both tests and only once. For Bio-Speedy® Direct RTqPCR SARS-CoV-2, the conditions consisted of 1 cycle of 5 min at 52 °C; then 1 cycle of 10 s at 95 °C and followed by 40 cycles of 1 s at 95 °C, of 30 s at 55 °C. The DirectDetect[™] SARS-CoV-2 qPCR Kit, the conditions consisted of 1 cycle of 5 min at 42 °C; following this stage 15 cycle of 10 s at 95 °C, of 15 s at 50 °C, then 1 cycle of 1 min at 95 °C; and this was followed by 30 cycles of 10 s at 95 °C, of 30 s at 55 °C. RNA amplifications were performed using the Rotor-Gene Q RT-PCR cyler (Qiagen,

Germany). For SARS CoV-2 RNA detection, FAM for the RdRp gene in Bio-Speedy[®] Direct RT-qPCR SARS-CoV-2, FAM for the ORF1ab gene, and ROX for the N gene were used in DirectDetectTM SARS-CoV-2. IC/ HEX channels for RNase P gene were used as an internal control in both kits.

The results were interpreted according to the protocols of the kits as follows: In Bio-Speedy® Direct RT-qPCR SARS-CoV-2; for positive control, the detection curves of FAM and HEX channels should have a significant exponential amplification curve with the Ct < 38. For negative control, the Ct value in FAM and HEX channels should be undetermined without a significant amplification curve. In The DirectDetect[™] SARS-CoV-2 qPCR Kit; for positive control, the detection curves of FAM, ROX channels should have a significant exponential amplification curve with the Ct value < 25. For negative control, the Ct value in FAM and ROX channels should be undetermined without a significant amplification curve. The VIC/HEX channel should have a significant exponential amplification curve with the Ct value \leq 25. Also, according to the kit protocols, in the samples whose controls were suitable, Ct value < 38 for Bio-Speedy[®] Direct RT-qPCR SARS-CoV-2, Ct value < 29 for The DirectDetect[™] SARS-CoV-2 qPCR Kit and those with exponential amplification curve were defined as positive.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, New York, USA). The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used in order to assess normality (if p > 0.05, the data were normally distributed). Continuous variables were expressed as mean±standard deviation (SD), median and ranges. Categorical variables were summarized as counts and percentages. Differences in means were compared using the independent paired t test. The p < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

A total of 83 patients (38 females and 45 males) were included in the study. Details of patient characteristics of this study are presented in Table 1. The mean \pm SD of age was 61.88 ± 16.04 (range 22–89) years. There was no statistical difference between males and females in terms of mean age distribution (p=0.248). Only 16 patients (19.3%) had an exposure history of close contact with infected COVID-19 cases. The median admission period of the patients was 3.00 (range 0–10) days. The most common complaint was cough that was observed in 42.17% of patients. The other three most common complaints were dyspnea in 36.15%, fever in 32.53%, and fatigue in 27.71%. Only 1 (1.21%) patient had no

symptoms. The most common co-existing diseases were heart diseases (42.17%), hypertension (33.74%), and diabetes mellitus (26.50%). Twenty-one patients had no comorbidity. For the severity assessment of COVID-19 pneumonia radiologically, 28.92% of patients were classified as mild, 65.06% as moderate, and 6.02% as severe pneumonia. Lung involvement was bilateral in 92.77% of them. Demographic data of the patients were shown in Table 2.

SARS-CoV-2 RNA was detected in the first nasopharyngeal swabs in 31 of 83 (37.35%) patients. Other patients were confirmed as COVID-19 patients based on their clinical and radiological findings. For the second nasopharyngeal swab samples, 19 (22.89%) patients were RT-PCR positive (Table 3). The first nasopharyngeal swabs were positive in 17 of 19 patients except for 2 (Case 6 and 70; Table 1). Thus, the number of laboratory-confirmed patients was 33 [31(first)+2 (second)]. RT-PCR was positive only in 5 (6.02%) out of 83 patients for first conjunctival swabs samples (Table 3). RT-PCR tests of the first nasopharyngeal swabs obtained from these 5 patients were positive. The rate of patients with conjunctival swabs in positive nasopharyngeal group for the first swabs was 16.13%. However, when the other two positive patients in the second nasopharyngeal swabs were added, the number of patients with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia was 33, therefore the rate became 15.15%. The virus RNA could not be detected in any of the second conjunctival swab samples. RT-PCR test Ct values of 5 cases with SARS-CoV-2 RNA detected in their first tear and conjunctival secretion swabs were shown in Table 4.

None of the patients in this study had any ocular symptoms or findings.

Discussion

It is well known that SARS-CoV-2 virus is primarily transmitted between people through respiratory droplets and contact routes [6]. Droplets can be formed through coughing, sneezing, singing, breathing, and speaking. Nevertheless, possible routes of transmission such as airborne, fecal–oral, vertical, sexual, ocular are still under discussion [5, 6, 10].

The potential for the ocular route of transmission and the presence of the SARS-CoV-2 in ocular tissues has been recently investigated. Transfer of the virus to ocular tissues can take place mainly in four ways: (1) by direct exposure to virus containing infectious droplets, (2) by contaminated hands or fomites, (3) by spreading due to viremia to the lacrimal gland and other eye tissues with dense vascularity (controversial), (4) by spreading from the upper respiratory tract to the lacrimal sac via the NLC and from there to the eye (controversial) [7]. NLC, a

Eye PCR RT-PCR	Eye RT-PCR		NP LT 3	NP RT-PCR	Eye RT-PCR	Interval	Temperature (1)	Symptoms	Days S-A	History of contacts	Coexisting disorder (chronic medical	Treatments	Temperature (2)	Chest CT lung involvement	Disease severity
(1) (1) (2) (2) Positive Negative Negative 3	(1) (2) (2) itive Negative Negative	(2) (2) Negative Negative	(2) (2) Negative Negative	(2) Negative	e	1	36.4	Dyspnea,	~	Yes	Focal segmental	QH	36.2	Bilateral	Moderate
Negative Negative Negative 3	Negative Negative Negative	Negative Negative Negative	Negative Negative	Negative	m		37.3	nypotension Cough, dysp- nea, fever, headache	~	No	glomerulosclerosis Asthma, migraine	QH	36.4	Bilateral	Mild
M Positive Negative Positive Negative 3	Negative Positive Negative	Positive Negative	Positive Negative		m		38	Fever, fatigue	4	Yes	Diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia	HQ+Favip- iravir	36.7	Bilateral	Moderate
Negative Negative Negative 3	Negative Negative	Negative Negative	Negative Negative	Negative	ŝ		36.7	Confusion, dispne	-	Yes	Diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hyper- llipidemia, myocardial infarction	HQ + Favip- iravir	36.6	Bilateral	Moderate
M Negative Negative Negative 2	Negative Negative	Negative Negative	Negative Negative		2		36	Abdominal pain, vomit- ing, poor appetite	2	oZ	Colorectal cancer (liver metastasis, cemote- raphy)	HQ + Antibi- otic	36	Bilateral	Moderate
M Negative Negative Positive Negative 2	Negative Positive Negative	Negative Positive Negative	Positive Negative		2		36.7	Chills, vomit- ing, dyspnea		No	No comorbidity	HQ + Antibi- otic + Favip- iravir	36.6	Bilateral	Severe
Negative Negative Negative 2 3	Negative Negative 2	Negative Negative 2	Negative Negative 2	Negative 2		m	36.7	Cough, dyspnea	2	oZ	Diabetes mellitus, hypertension, chronic kidney failure, heart arrhythmia	HQ + Antibi- otic + Favip- iravir	36.7	Bilateral	Moderate
Positive Positive Positive Nega- 2 33 tive	Positive Positive Nega- 2 tive	Positive Nega- 2 tive	Nega- 2 tive	7		ň	36.3	Asymp- tomatic (positive case detection at home)	0	Yes	Hypertension, coronary artery disease, chronic kidney failure	Я	36.2	Bilateral	Mild
Positive Positive Positive Nega- 2 36.5 tive	Positive Positive Nega- 2 tive	Positive Nega- 2 tive	Nega- 2 tive	2		36	ij	Fever, cough, fatigue, dry of throat	5	No	Diabetes mellitus, hypertension	HQ + Antibi- otic	36.7	Bilateral	Moderate
M Negative Negative Negative 2 36	Negative Negative 2	Negative Negative 2	Negative Negative 2	5		36	10	Speech pelteking, syncope, urinary incon- tinence	-	0 N	Cerebrovascular dis- eases, bladder tumor (newly diagnosed)	HQ+Antibi- otic	36.5	Bilateral	Moderate
Negative Negative Negative 2 3	Negative Negative 2	Negative Negative 2	Negative Negative 2	7		ñ	36.5	Dyspnea, fatigue, foot swelling	5	oZ	Hypertension, conges- tive heart failure, coro- nary artery disease, gut syndrome	HQ+ Antibi- otic + Favip- iravir	36.4	Bilateral	Severe
Negative Negative Negative 2 36	2	2	2	2	2	36		Dyspnea, fatigue, poor appetite, generalized mvalcia	~	0 Z	No comorbidity	HQ + Antibi- otic	36.5	Bilateral	Moderate

 Table 1
 Summary of the clinically-confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia patients' characteristics

	Age	Sex	đ	Eve	NP	Eve	Interval	Temperature	Symptoms	Davs	History of	Coexisting disorder	Treatments	Temperature	Chest CT lung	Disease
			RT-PCR (1)	RT-PCR (1)	RT-PCR (2)	RT-PCR (2)		(1)		S-A	contacts	(chronic medical illness)		(2)	involvement	severity status
13	52	ш	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	m	37.5	Chills	-	No	Lung cancer (cemote- raphy)	HQ + Antibi- otic	36	Bilateral	Moderate
14	87	Σ	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	m	36.7	Confusion, loss of power and strength in the extremities	0	°Z	Hypertension, chronic kidney failure, conges- tive heart failure, heart arthythmia	HQ + Antibi- otic	36	Bilateral	Moderate
15	55	ш	Positive		Negative Positive	Negative	m	36.8	Dyspnea, fever, dry cough	m	N	Diabetes mellitus	HQ+Antibi- otic	36.7	Bilateral	Moderate
16	56	Z	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	m	38	Cough, fever	4	No	No comorbidity	HQ + Antibi- otic + Favip- iravir	38.3	Bilateral	Moderate
17	35	Z	Positive	Positive	Positive	Nega- tive	m	38	Headache, nausea, fever, poor appetite	m	oN	No comorbidity	QН	36.4	Bilateral	Moderate
18	56	≥	Negative	Negative		Negative Negative	m	37.6	Headache, nausea, cough, flank pain, dysuria	4	No	No comorbidity	HQ+Antibi- otic	37.3	Unilateral	Moderate
19	70	Σ	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	m	36.6	Cough, back pain	5	Yes	Diabetes mellitus	HQ+Antibi- otic	36.2	Bilateral	Moderate
20	31	Z	Negative	Negative Negative	Negative	Negative	m	37	Cough, dyspnea, headache, fatigue	m	No	No comorbidity	HQ+Antibi- otic	36.2	Bilateral	Mild
21	63	Σ	Negative	Negative Negative Negative	Negative	Negative	m	36.8	Headache, fever, nausea, abdominal pain	-	No	Coronary artery dis- ease, hypertension	HQ+Antibi- otic	36.7	Bilateral	Moderate
22	59	щ	Negative	Negative Negative Negative	Negative	Negative	m	36.5	Diarrhea, abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, fever	m	°Z	Hypertension, hypo- thyroidism	ŎН	36.7	Bilateral	Moderate
23	87	ш	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	c	36.5	Dyspnea	m	No	Hypertension	ЮH	36.5	Bilateral	Moderate
24	43	ц	Positive	Negative	Negative	Negative	m	36.5	Cough, nau- sea, general- ized myalgia, palpitation	4	Yes	Hypertension	HQ+ Antibi- otic	36.4	Bilateral	Moderate
25	58	Σ	Positive	Negative <i>Positive</i>	Positive	Negative	e	36.7	Nausea, fatigue	7	Yes	No comorbidity	HQ+Antibi- otic	36	Bilateral	Moderate

Table 1 (continued)	ン - -															
Case	Age	Sex	NP RT-PCR (1)	Eye RT-PCR (1)	NP RT-PCR (2)	Eye RT-PCR (2)	Interval	Temperature (1)	Symptoms	Days S-A	History of contacts	Coexisting disorder (chronic medical illness)	Treatments	Temperature (2)	Chest CT lung involvement	Disease severity status
26	74	Σ	Positive	Positive	Positive	Nega- tive	m	36.5	Fatigue, con- fusion poor appetite, self- talk disorder	4	No	Diabetes mellitus, hypertension	HQ + Antibi- otic	37.3	Bilateral	Moderate
27	58	ш	Positive	Negative	Negative Negative	Negative	m	36.9	Cough, fatigue, back pain	~	Yes	Diabetes mellitus, hypertension	HQ + Antibi- otic	36.6	Bilateral	Moderate
28	71	Z	Negative		Negative Negative	Negative	2	36.8	Fatigue, headache, fever	Ŋ	No	Rheumatologic disorder	QH	36	Bilateral	Moderate
29	99	ш	Positive	Negative	Negative Negative	Negative	5	37	Cough, fever, nausea, fatigue	7	Yes	Hypothyroidism, heart arrhythmia	HQ+Favip- iravir	36.3	Bilateral	Moderate
30	35	Z	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	2	38.3	Fever, nausea	4	Yes	Bronchiectasis	HQ+Favip- iravir	37.6	Bilateral	Moderate
31	65	Z	Negative		Negative Negative	Negative	m	37.2	Sore throat, cough	m	No	Bladder tumor, total thyroidectomy, stomach perforation surgery	HQ + Antibi- otic	36.6	Bilateral	Mild
32	46	ш	Positive	Negative	Negative Negative	Negative	m	37.7	Fever, cough, dyspnea	7	No	Asthma	Ю	36.5	Bilateral	Moderate
33	63	Z	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	e	37.9	Fever	ŝ	Yes	Hypertension	ЮН	37.1	Bilateral	Moderate
34	72	Z	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	ŝ	36.5	Fatigue, joint pain, general- ized myalgia	~	No	Diabetes mellitus, hypertension, coronary artery disease	дн	36.3	Bilateral	Mild
35	32	ш	Positive	Negative	Negative Negative	Negative	ŝ	36.6	Fever, cough, headache, loss of smell	4	N	No comorbidity	HQ+Antibi- otic	37.6	Bilateral	Moderate
36	72	щ	Negative		Negative Negative	Negative	m	37.5	Fever, cough	m	No	Diabetes mellitus, hypertension, asthma, coronary artery dis- ease, hyperlipidemia	Ю	37	Bilateral	Mild
37	58	ц	Negative		Negative Negative	Negative	m	36.5	Chills, myal- gia, headache	-	No	No comorbidity	HQ+Antibi- otic	36.3	Bilateral	Mild
30	64	Z	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	ŝ	36.7	Headache, fatigue	2	N	Coronary artery disease (history of cardiac anjio)	дн	36.4	Bilateral	Moderate
39	58	Z	Positive	Positive	Positive	Nega- tive	ŝ	38.9	Fever	m	No	Heart valve disease (heart valve replace- ment surgery)	Н	36.2	Bilateral	Mild

Table 1 (continued)	Ŭ L		/													
Case	Age	Sex	NP RT-PCR (1)	Eye RT-PCR (1)	NP RT-PCR (2)	Eye RT-PCR (2)	Interval	Temperature (1)	Symptoms	Days S-A	History of contacts	Coexisting disorder (chronic medical illness)	Treatments	Temperature (2)	Chest CT lung involvement	Disease severity status
40	61	Σ	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	m	36.8	Cough, dizziness, dyspnea, dry of throat, diarrhea	ы	N	Coronary artery disease (coronary angioplasty and stenting)	HQ + Antibi- otic	36.4	Unilateral	Mild
41	83	ш	Positive	Negative	Negative	Negative	m	37.4	Chills, fever	m	No	Coronary artery disease, asthma	HQ + Antibi- otic	36.2	Bilateral	Moderate
42	79	ш	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	m	36.5	Nausea, vom- iting, cellulite on the left leg	7	No	Asthma, coronary artery disease, hyper- tension	HQ + Antibi- otic	36	Bilateral	Moderate
43	65	ш.	Negative		Negative Negative	Negative	2	36.2	Epistaxis	4	No	Splenectomy, superior mesenteric venous thrombosis	HQ+Antibi- otic	36	Bilateral	Moderate
44	42	Z	Negative		Negative Negative	Negative	m	36.5	Chills, fatigue, headache	m	Yes	No comorbidity	Я	36.3	Bilateral	Mild
45	71	Σ	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	m	38	Cough, fever	10	No	Hypertension, coronary artery disease	HQ+Antibi- otic	36.5	Bilateral	Mild
46	64	Σ	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	7	36.5	Abdominal distention, dyspnea, fever, cough	4	o	No comorbidity	QH	36.9	Bilateral	Mild
47	81	щ	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	2	36.5	Nausea, vomiting	0	No	Diabetes mellitus	Й	36.5	Bilateral	Mild
48	42	Z	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	2	36.7	Fever, cough, dyspnea	-	No	No comorbidity	HQ+Antibi- otic	36	Bilateral	Moderate
49	66	Z	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	2	36.5	Cough, fatigue, vomiting	Ś	No	No comorbidity	HQ+Antibi- otic	36.5	Bilateral	Moderate
50	68	Σ	Negative	Negative	Negative Negative	Negative	7	36.5	Vomiting, diarrhea	0	o	Lung cancer, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Alzheimer's disease	HQ + Antibi- otic	36.4	Bilateral	Moderate
51	73	ш	Positive	Negative	Negative Positive	Negative	ŝ	36.5	Fatigue, loss of taste	~	No	Diabetes mellitus, hypertension,coronary artery disease	ОН	36	Bilateral	Mild
52	62	ш	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	e	37.2	Fever, sore throat	7	No	No comorbidity	HQ+Antibi- otic	36	Unilateral	Mild
53	86	ш	Positive	Negative	Negative	Negative	m	36.6	Dyspnea	m	ON	Congestive heart failure, coronary artery disease, hypertension, chronic kidney failure	HQ+ Antibi- otic + Favip- iravir	36.2	Bilateral	Moderate

Table 1 (continued)	ر ۲															
Case /	Age	Sex	NP RT-PCR (1)	Eye RT-PCR (1)	NP RT-PCR (2)	Eye RT-PCR (2)	Interval	Temperature (1)	Symptoms	Days S-A	History of contacts	Coexisting disorder (chronic medical illness)	Treatments	Temperature (2)	Chest CT lung involvement	Disease severity status
54	48	ш	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	m	36.2	Chest pain, dyspnea	-	No	Pulmonary embolism	HQ + Antibi- otic	36.4	Bilateral	Moderate
55 2	47	Z	Positive	Negative	Negative	Negative	m	36.5	Headache, hypotension	2	No	Hypertension, hypo- thyroidism	HQ + Antibi- otic	36.3	Bilateral	Moderate
56	76	ш	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	m	36.6	Dy spnea, fever, abdominal pain	-	No	Coronary artery disease	QH	36.3	Bilateral	Mild
57 7	70	Z	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	m	36	Chest pain	0	No	Diabetes mellitus, coro- nary artery disease, chronic kidney failure	QH	36.7	Bilateral	Mild
58	22	Z	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	m	39.8	Fever, dysp- nea, vomiting	.	No	Coronary artery disease (heart bypass surgery)	HQ + Antibi- otic + Favip- iravir	36.1	Bilateral	Severe
59 6	65	ш	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	e	36.5	Cough, chills	4	No	No comorbidity	HQ+Antibi- otic	36.6	Bilateral	Mild
60	59	Z	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	2	36	Dyspnea	0	No	Coronary artery disease	HQ+Antibi- otic	36	Bilateral	Moderate
61	73	Σ	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	m	36.9	Cough, dyspnea, legs swelling, chest pain	7	No	Hypertension, coronary artery disease (heart bypass surgery)	Ŷ	37.1	Bilateral	Moderate
62	43	Σ	Negative	Negative	Negative Negative	Negative	m	37.1	Fever, headache, diarrhea, nausea, dry of throat	7	Yes	No comorbidity	Я	36	Bilateral	Moderate
63	50	ш	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	m	37.3	Fatigue, cough, fever, dyspnea	Ś	N	No comorbidity	HQ+ Antibi- otic + Favip- iravir	36.3	Bilateral	Severe
49	59	Z	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	m	36	Dyspnea	m	N	Distal Pankreatektomi ve splenektomi (pan- creatic cancer?)	QH	36.6	Bilateral	Moderate
65 7	78	ш	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	m	36.2	Abdominal pain	10	No	Coronary artery disease	HQ+Antibi- otic	36	Bilateral	Mild
66	86	ш	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	m	36.4	Dyspnea	-	N	Heart failure, coronary artery disease, myocar- dial infarction	HQ+Favip- iravir	36	Bilateral	Moderate
67 7	71	Z	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	m	36.3	Cough, dyspnea	7	Yes	Hypertension, coronary artery disease	HQ+Favip- iravir	36.3	Unilateral	Moderate

Table 1 (continued)	e 1															
Case	Age	Sex	NP RT-PCR (1)	Eye RT-PCR (1)	NP RT-PCR (2)	Eye RT-PCR (2)	Interval	Temperature (1)	Symptoms	Days S-A	History of contacts	Coexisting disorder (chronic medical illness)	Treatments	Temperature (2)	Chest CT lung involvement	Disease severity status
68	71	ш	Positive	Negative	Negative	Negative	m	36.5	Cough, fatigue, headache	2	Yes	Goitre	HQ + Antibi- otic	36.3	Bilateral	Mild
69	83	ш	Negative	Negative	Negative Negative Negative	Negative	m	36	Cough expectorate, fatigue	10	No	Diabetes mellitus, hypertension, coronary artery disease, chronic bronchitis	HQ + Antibi- otic	36.8	Bilateral	Moderate
70	30	ш	Negative	Negative	Positive	Negative	e	37.5	Cough, fatigue	7	No	No comorbidity	HQ + Antibi- otic	36.2	Bilateral	Moderate
71	77	Z	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	m	36.5	Dizziness, weakness of the right side	0	No	Diabetes mellitus, cer- ebrovascular diseases	ОН	36.3	Unilateral	Mild
72	70	ш	Negative	Negative	Negative Negative Negative	Negative	m	36	Dyspnea, cough	-	No	Coronary artery disease, hyperten- sion, asthma, diabetes mellitus	HQ + Antibi- otic	35.5	Bilateral	Moderate
73	86	Z	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative Negative	m	37.3	fever, chills, dyspnea	m	No	Coronary artery dis- ease, hypertension, dia- betes mellitus, chronic kidney failure	HQ + Antibi- otic	36.7	Bilateral	Moderate
74	61	Z	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	m	36	Chills, generalized myalgia, cough		No	No comorbidity	HQ + Antibi- otic	36	Bilateral	Moderate
75	56	ш	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	m	36	Fatigue, myalgia, back pain	Ś	N	Coronary artery dis- ease, hypertension	QH	36.3	Bilateral	Mild
76	65	Σ	Negative		Negative Negative	Negative	m	36.1	Sudden shortness of breath, disar- tria, dizziness, sweating	0	N	Diabetes mellitus, hypertension	ОH	36.4	Bilateral	Moderate
77	52	≥	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	m	36.1	Dry cough	ŝ	No	Diabetes mellitus	HQ+ Antibi- otic + Favip- iravir	36.4	Bilateral	Moderate
78	64	ш	Positive	Negative	Negative	Negative	m	36.6	cough, dysp- nea, fatigue	2	No	Diabetes mellitus, hypertension	Й	36.5	Bilateral	Moderate
79	20	Z	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	m	36.6	Cough, hem- optysis	0	No	Coronary artery dis- ease, cerebrovascular diseases	ОН	36.5	Bilateral	Mild
80	63	ш	Positive	Negative	Negative Negative Negative	Negative	e	36.5	Fatigue, palpitation	4	No	Diabetes mellitus	HQ+Favip- iravir	36.2	Bilateral	Severe

Case	Case Age Sex NP RT-P (1)	Sex	NP RT-PCR (1)	Eye RT-PCR (1)	NP RT-PCR (2)	Eye RT-PCR (2)	Interval	Interval Temperature (1)	Symptoms	Days S-A	History of contacts	History of Coexisting disorder Treatments contacts (chronic medical illness)	Treatments	Temperature (2)	Chest CT lung Disease involvement severity status	Disease severity status
81	46	≥	Positive	Negative	Negative	81 46 M Positive Negative Negative 3	m	36.3	Cough, dysp-7 nea, fatigue, sweating	7	Yes	No comorbidity	дн	36.6	Bilateral	Moderate
82	55	Z	Positive	Negative	Negative	M Positive Negative Negative 3	m	36.2	Cough, dysp- nea, chills	4	°Z	Cerebrovascular diseases, diabetes mellitus, coronary artery disease (bypass surgery)	HQ + Antibi- otic	36	Bilateral	Mild
83	27	×	Negative	Negative	Negative	83 27 M Negative Negative Negative 3	m	36.8	Cough, fever 3	ŝ	No	No comorbidity	HQ + Antibi- otic	36.6	Unilateral	Moderate
NP na	ophary	yngeal,	, Eye: tear	and conjun	ctival secre	tions, RT-PCI	R reverse tra	anscription polym	nerase chain read	tion, Day	s S-A days froi	NP nasopharyngeal, Eye: tear and conjunctival secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction, Days 5-4 days from onset of symptoms to admission	admission			

Table 1 (continued)

~
5
ssi
.s
3
ō
ã
0
5
ms
0
Ĕ
ē
Ε
S∼.
ų,
0
et
onset
2
0
E
ō
÷
S
R a
ö
A
Ś
Ś
N
g
0
È
ō
Έ
rea
-
⊒.
iai
÷
merase
E.
ē
Ĕ
\sim
bo
E
. Ξ
Stic
ġ
ġ
transcrij
reverse transcrij
reverse transcrij
reverse transcrij
reverse transcrij
transcrij
reverse transcrij
is, RT-PCR reverse transcrij
ons, RT-PCR reverse transcrij
ons, RT-PCR reverse transcrij
etions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
etions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
cretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
etions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
ctival secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
ival secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
ctival secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
onjunctival secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
junctival secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
onjunctival secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
onjunctival secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
onjunctival secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
onjunctival secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
onjunctival secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
onjunctival secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
ve: tear and conjunctival secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
tear and conjunctival secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
l, Eye: tear and conjunctival secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
l, Eye: tear and conjunctival secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
l, Eye: tear and conjunctival secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
l, Eye: tear and conjunctival secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
l, Eye: tear and conjunctival secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
haryngeal, Eye: tear and conjunctival secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
haryngeal, Eye: tear and conjunctival secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
haryngeal, Eye: tear and conjunctival secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
haryngeal, Eye: tear and conjunctival secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri
aryngeal, Eye: tear and conjunctival secretions, RT-PCR reverse transcri

Table 2 Demographic and clinical characteristics of the clinically-confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia patients

Characteristics	All patients (n = 83)	
	Mean (SD)	Range
Age, years		
All	61.88 (16.04)	22–89
Female	64.11 (16.17)	30–88
Male	60 (15.86)	22–89
Temperature at the sampling (Celcius, $^{\circ}$ C)		
Temperature (1)	36.78 (0.69)	36.00-39.80
Temperature (2)	36.44 (0.43)	35.50–38.50
Days from onset of symptoms to admission (Days S-A)	3.65 (2.54)	0-10
	Patients (n)	Percentage (%
Sex		
Female	38	45.78
Male	45	54.22
History of contacts		
Yes	16	19.28
No	67	80.72
Symptoms		
Asymptomatic	1	1.205
Cough	35	42.17
Dyspnea	30	36.15
Fever	27	32.53
Fatigue	23	27.71
Headache	13	15.66
Nausea	11	13.25
Vomiting	9	10.83
Chills	8	9.64
Myalgia or arthralgia	7	8.43
Sore or dry throat	б	7.23
Abdominal pain or distention	б	7.23
Poor appetite	4	4.82
Diarrhea	4	4.82
Confusion	3	3.61
Back pain	3	3.61
Dizziness	3	3.61
Chest pain	3	3.61
Sweating	2	2.41
Hypotension	2	2.41
Palpitation	2	2.41
Neurological symptoms (speech pelteking, disartria, loss of power and strength in the extremities, syncope, weakness of the right side, self-talk disorder)	6	7.23
Other symptoms (cellulite on the leg, foot swelling, legs swelling, urinary inconti- nence, flank pain, dysuria, loss of smell and taste, hemoptysis, epistaxis)	10	12.05
Chronic medical illness		
Hypertension	28	33.74
Diabetes mellitus	22	26.5
Coronary artery disease	25	30.12
Other heart diseases	10	12.05
Chronic kidney disease	7	8.43
Asthma	6	7.23

	Patients (<i>n</i>)	Percentage (%)
Other respiratory system disease	6	7.23
Thyroid diseases	5	6.02
Cerebrovascular diseases	4	4.82
Malignancy (except lung CA)	4	4.82
Hyperlipidemia	3	3.61
Rheumatologic disorder	1	1.205
Autoimmune disorder-Gut	1	1.205
Others (splenectomy, stomach surgery)	2	2.41
No comorbidity	21	25.3
Lung involvement-chest CT		
Unilateral	6	7.23
Bilateral	77	92.77
Disease severity status—radiologically		
Mild	24	28.92
Moderate	54	65.06
Severe	5	6.02
Treatments		
Hydroxychloroquine	28	33.74
Hydroxychloroquine + Antibiotic	40	48.19
Hydroxychloroquine + Antibiotic + Favipiravir	8	9.64
Hydroxychloroquine + Favipiravir	7	8.43

functional natural canal that connects the eye and respiratory system, is the main route of transmission from the eye to the respiratory system [7, 11]. In an experimental animal study published recently, it has been shown that the virus can replicate in conjunctival cells and cause viral pneumonia through the eye [12]. In addition to all these, it should be emphasized that the eye has some features mentioned below that limits its being an alternative route of transmission and reservoir organ. Firstly, the tear is constantly renewed, lost by evaporation, is continuously drained by the NLC, and its volume is also very low. Secondly, ocular secretions have a strong local immune system (lactoferrin, immunoglobulins, sialic acid and etc.) against microorganisms (including coronavirus). Thirdly, SARS like coronavirus is known to be a member of the

 Table 3
 Nasopharyngeal
 and
 ocular
 secretions
 RT-PCR
 test

 results in clinically-confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia patients
 Figure 1000 (2000)
 Figure 1000 (2000)

Test	Positive	Negative
Fist nasopharyngeal RT-PCR	31 (37.35%)	52 (62.65%)
First tear and conjunctival secretions RT-PCR	5 (6.02%)	78 (93.98%)
Second nasopharyngeal RT-PCR	19 (22.89%)	64 (77.11%)
Second tear and conjunctival secretions RT-PCR	0 (0%)	83 (100%)

ocular tests have been written in italics to increase recognizability

RT-PCR reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction

genus Betacoronavirus and subgenus Sarbecovirus and is an enveloped positive-sense single-stranded RNA virus that enters its host cell by binding to the ACE-2 receptor. These receptors have been shown to be present in small amounts (at least 50% lower than other tissues) in conjunctival mucosa cells, and also their ability to bind to the virus is very poor [7, 12–14]. Moreover, the virus load is thought to be found in ocular secretions much less than in throat secretions and if the virus is present in ocular secretions, it is not known how long the virus may also persist [7, 12-15]. Factors such as technical errors and difficulties in sampling, handling, processing, and evaluation, the stage of the disease at the time the samples are taken, and the specificity and sensitivity of the tests may also negatively affect the test results and cause lower positivity rates [7, 14].

During the pandemic, the first case of conjunctivitis related to COVID-19 was reported by a Chinese respiratory specialist who visited Wuhan as a member of the national expert panel on pneumonia. He wore an N95 mask, but did not wear anything to protect his eyes while working with his patients. His first clinical sign of COVID-19 pneumonia was unilateral conjunctivitis. He claimed that SARS-CoV-2 probably first infected the conjunctiva, then spread through ocular secretions and caused viral pneumonia [7, 16]. In a study by the China Medical Treatment Expert Group, conjunctival

Manufacturer	Version	Case	Eye RT-PCR (1)			PC (FAM)	PC (HEX)	NC	NP RT-PCR (1)	
			Green (FAM)	Yellow (HEX)	Orange (ROX)				Green (FAM)	Yellow (HEX)
Bioeksen, Turkey	V-4	Case 8	28.59	25.86		20.91	22.70	NEG (NTC)	15.71	12.01
Coyote, China	V-1		21.80	18.22	18.02	16.73	24.20	NEG (NTC)		
Bioeksen, Turkey	V-2	Case 9	37.95	25.03		29.80	28.07	NEG (NTC)	17.82	10.85
Coyote, China	V-1		24.65	15.47	20.10	16.73	24.20	NEG (NTC)		
Bioeksen, Turkey	V-2	Case 17	32.85	26.35		29.80	28.07	NEG (NTC)	27.45	10.59
Coyote, China	V-1		27.30	1 7.86	20.32	16.73	24.20	NEG (NTC)		
Bioeksen, Turkey	V-4	Case 26	28.06	23.87		20.91	22.70	NEG (NTC)	19.38	21.92
Coyote, China	V-1		20.30	14.43	16.53	16.73	24.20	NEG (NTC)		
Bioeksen, Turkey	V-4	Case 39	28.66	24.65		20.91	22.70	NEG (NTC)	13.84	20.56
Covote, China	V-1		20.89	17.44	16.77	16.73	24.20	NEG (NTC)		

Ś	
Š	
5	
eal	
Ū	
ō	
<u>_</u>	
≥	
σ	
_	
Q	
0	
ŝ	
2	
_	
2	
a	
a	
>	
Ē	
ÿ	
Ĕ	
.=	
`	
<u></u>	
tcor	
st	
,Ľ	
Ē	
<u>ب</u> .	
Ψ	
Ţ	
÷	
.⊆	
ected	
ctθ	
Q	
Ð	
ō	
\geq	
~	
ц_	
5	
\cap	
0	
ပို	
S-Co	
RS-C	
SARS-Co	
SARS-C	
h SARS-C	
h SARS-C	
SARS-C	
with SARS-C	
h SARS-C	
nts with SARS-C	
with SARS-C	
tients with SARS-C	
nts with SARS-C	
f patients with SARS-C	
tients with SARS-C	
of patients with SARS-C	
of patients with SARS-C	
of patients with SARS-C	
tests of patients with SARS-C	
Rests of patients with SARS-C	
Rests of patients with SARS-C	
tests of patients with SARS-C	
Rests of patients with SARS-C	
Rests of patients with SARS-C	
RT-PCR tests of patients with SARS-C	
Rests of patients with SARS-C	
in RT-PCR tests of patients with SARS-C	
es in RT-PCR tests of patients with SARS-C	
lues in RT-PCR tests of patients with SARS-C	
es in RT-PCR tests of patients with SARS-C	
values in RT-PCR tests of patients with SARS-C	
values in RT-PCR tests of patients with SARS-C	
values in RT-PCR tests of patients with SARS-C	
(Ct) values in RT-PCR tests of patients with SARS-C	
ld (Ct) values in RT-PCR tests of patients with SARS-C	
(Ct) values in RT-PCR tests of patients with SARS-C	
hold (Ct) values in RT-PCR tests of patients with SARS-C	
hold (Ct) values in RT-PCR tests of patients with SARS-C	
reshold (Ct) values in RT-PCR tests of patients with SARS-C	
nreshold (Ct) values in RT-PCR tests of patients with SARS-C	
threshold (Ct) values in RT-PCR tests of patients with SARS-C	
e threshold (Ct) values in RT-PCR tests of patients with SARS-C	
cle threshold (Ct) values in RT-PCR tests of patients with SARS-C	
ycle threshold (Ct) values in RT-PCR tests of patients with SARS-C	
Cycle threshold (Ct) values in RT-PCR tests of patients with SARS-C	
Cycle threshold (Ct) values in RT-PCR tests of patients with SARS-C	
Cycle threshold (Ct) values in RT-PCR tests of patients with SARS-C	
Cycle threshold (Ct) values in RT-PCR tests of patients with SARS-C	
Cycle threshold (Ct) values in RT-PCR tests of patients with SARS-C	
Cycle threshold (Ct) values in RT-PCR tests of patients with SARS-C	

congestion was reported in 9 (0.8%) out of 1099 patients with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 from 552 different hospitals [17]. It should be underlined that the diagnosis of conjunctivitis was not based on examinations performed by ophthalmologists in that study. In a study by ophthalmologists in China, conjunctival congestion was reported in 27 (5.0%) out of 535 confirmed COVID-19 patients. The initial symptom of 4 patients was conjunctival congestion. SARS-CoV-2 was detected in nasopharyngeal swabs in 18 (66.7%) out of 27 patients and 343 (64.0%) out of 535 patients [18]. On the other hand, there are some studies showing the presence of virus in conjunctival swab samples by RT-PCR test. Xia et al. [19] detected the SARS-CoV-2 by RT-PCR in two conjunctival swab samples collected from only one patient with conjunctivitis among 30 laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia patients. Fifty-eight samples obtained from other patients were all negative. Nasopharyngeal and ocular samples were taken at the same time on the first day and on the 2nd or 3rd day. Fang et al. [20] collected nasal, blood, stool, urine, saliva, and tear samples from 32 laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 patients and detected the presence of SARS-CoV-2 by RT-PCR in conjunctival samples of 5 patients (15.63%). Liang and Wu et al. [21] evaluated conjunctival swabs from 37 confirmed COVID-19 patients, three of whom had conjunctivitis. Only one conjunctival swab (2.70%) from a patient with severe pneumonia (according to the Chinese COVID-19 diagnostic protocol) without conjunctivitis yielded a positive result. In a study conducted by Wu et al. [22] 12 out of 38 (31.58%) clinically-confirmed COVID-19 patients had ocular findings consistent with conjunctivitis, and two patients (5.26%) with conjunctivitis were positive for SARS-CoV-2 in conjunctival swabs as well as nasopharyngeal swabs. Karimi et al. [23] detected the presence of the virus in nasopharyngeal samples of 30 (69.8%) and in tear samples of 3 (7%) patients out of 43 clinicallyconfirmed COVID-19 patients with pneumonia. Nasopharyngeal RT-PCRs of those three patients were also positive. One patient with bilateral conjunctivitis had a negative conjunctival swab. Kumar et al. [24] reported that only one (2.23%) of the conjunctival swabs taken from 45 patients with COVID-19 had detectable SARS CoV-2 levels by RT PCR. In a preprint posted at MedRxiv by Zhou et al. [25], conjunctival swab sample of 1 patient was found positive, and conjunctival swab samples of 2 patients probable positive (suspicious positive) in 67 confirmed or suspected cases of COVID-19 pneumonia (mostly healthy workers). None of the three patients had ocular symptoms. One patient whose first symptom was conjunctivitis had a negative conjunctival swab. In another preprint posted at MedRxiv, Sun et al. [26] evaluated 72 laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia patients and reported that SARS-CoV-2 was detected in a conjunctival sample of only one patient. This patient was an emergency department nurse and bilateral acute conjunctivitis was her first symptom of COVID-19 pneumonia. In addition, a small number of "Case Report" studies published including COVID-19 associated conjunctivitis cases detected SARS-CoV-2 by RT-PCR in conjunctival swab samples [27–29]. In one of those studies by Colavita et al. [28], it was reported that the viable virus was isolated from conjunctival swab samples and exhibited a cytopathic effect in Vero E6 cells.

A few studies containing results contrary to the studies mentioned above have also been reported. In a study conducted in Singapore, a total of 64 samples were obtained from 17 laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 patients from day 3 to day 20 following the first symptoms of the disease, and none of these samples gave positive results by viral isolation and RT-PCR [8]. In another study conducted by Deng et al. [15] in China, no SARS-CoV-2 could be detected by RT-PCR in conjunctival swab samples from 114 patients with clinically-confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia.

In the present study, 83 hospitalized patients with clinically confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia were evaluated to detect the presence of SARS-CoV-2 in tears and conjunctival secretions. The mean age of the patients was 61.88 ± 16.04 years, and approximately 75% of them suffered from co-existing chronic diseases. Radiologically, the majority of patients had mild to moderate pneumonia and 92.77% of them had bilateral lung involvement. Although conjunctivitis was not an exclusion criterion, no conjunctivitis was detected in any patients. As in previous studies, the most common complaints of the patients were cough, fever, dyspnea and fatigue respectively. In Tables 1 and 2, it is seen that the body temperatures of the patients measured at the time of sampling are not high because of using antipyretic and other medications. Regardless of whether they were laboratory-confirmed or not, all patients were treated according to the "COVID-19 pneumonia management guide" prepared by the Ministry of Health's Science Committee [4].

In this study, tear and conjunctival samples and nasopharyngeal samples were obtained simultaneously for RT-PCR on the admission and on the 2nd or the 3rd day after hospitalization. In total, 166 tear and conjunctival samples and 166 nasopharyngeal samples were investigated. For first nasopharyngeal samples, the presence of the SARS-CoV-2 by RT-PCR was detected in 31 out of 83 patients (37.35%). However, SARS-CoV-2 RNA was detected in the conjunctival swabs of only 5 of the 83 patients with a rate of 6.02%. All 5 cases (Case 8, 9, 17, 26 and 39; Table 1) who were positive for the first tear and conjunctival RT-PCR, were positive for the first nasopharyngeal RT-PCR as well. When considering patients with first positive nasopharyngeal RT-PCR, the conjunctival swab positivity was 5/31 (16.13%). Of the 31 patients whose first nasopharyngeal swabs were positive, only 17 were also positive in the second. Following the addition of two patients whose first nasopharyngeal RT-PCR was negative but the second was positive, the number of laboratory-confirmed patients became 33, the conjunctival swab RT-PCR positivity 15.15% in patients with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia. In this study, the conjunctival swab RT-PCR positivity in patients with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia was slightly higher than those of the studies mentioned above. One of the important results of the study was that no conjunctival swab positivity was detected from any patients whose first and second nasopharyngeal samples were negative. Another notable result was that there was a finding supporting the view that the virus could not remain in the eye secretions for a long time. All 5 patients with positive first conjunctival and nasopharyngeal swabs had positive second nasopharyngeal swabs, but had no positive second conjunctival swabs. Furthermore, when the Ct values given in Table 4 are evaluated, it is seen that the conjunctival swab Ct values are higher than the nasopharyngeal swab values. Accordingly, it can be concluded that the viral load of the nasopharynx is probably higher than those of ocular secretions.

In summary, 5 patients having conjunctival swab positive had bilateral lung involvement (Case 8 and 39 were mild, the others were moderate pneumonic), only one (Case 8) had a history of exposure close contact, and, except for one, the others had a chronic disease. Three patients underwent only hydroxychloroquine (HQ) and the other two underwent HQ + antibiotics for COVID-19 pneumonia.

The limitations of our study were the relatively small number of laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia patients and intensive care patients who were likely to have a higher viral load were excluded from the study.

Conclusions

This study showed that SARS-CoV-2 can be detected in conjunctival swabs of patients with clinically or laboratory confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia. The positivity of SARS-CoV-2 (15.15%) in tear and conjunctival secretions of patients with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia was found to be slightly higher than those of the previous studies. Also, the positivity (6.02%) in tear and conjunctival secretions of patients with clinically-confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia was found to be slightly higher than those of the previous studies. Also, the positivity (6.02%) in tear and conjunctival secretions of patients with clinically-confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia was found to be similar to or even slightly higher than those of the previously conducted studies. Although this is not an experimental

study, when the positivity-negativity rates and Ct values are evaluated together, it can be thought that the viral load in nasopharyngeal secretions is probably higher than the ocular secretions and the virus may not remain in the ocular secretions for a long time.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all the staff of Bakirkoy Dr. Sadi Konuk Training and Research Hospital's COVID clinics, laboratory staff and supporting institutions.

Authors' contributions

Study concept and design (YK, SNK), data acquisition, interpretation and literature review (YK, SNK, MO, KKY and HK), drafting (YK, SNK), revision (YK, SNK, MO, KKY and HK). All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The study was supported by the Health Institutes of Turkey (TÜSEB) and the Scientific Research Projects Unit of the University of Health Sciences under Grant (Number 2020/057). The funding organizations did not have any role in the design or conduct of the study.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study was included in this published article.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Bakirkoy Dr. Sadi Konuk Training and Research Hospital (*2020/190*) and adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. A written informed consent was obtained from each patient.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests concerning this article.

Author details

¹Opticianry Program, University of Health Sciences, Uskudar, Istanbul, Turkey. ²Department of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology, Bakirkoy Dr. Sadi Konuk Training and Research Hospital, University of Health Sciences, Istanbul, Turkey. ³Department of Ophthalmology, Bakirkoy Dr. Sadi Konuk Training and Research Hospital, Bakirkoy, Istanbul, Turkey. ⁴Molecular Microbiology Laboratory, Bakirkoy Dr. Sadi Konuk Training and Research Hospital, Bakirkoy, Istanbul, Turkey. ⁵Opticianry Program, Hamidiye Vocational School of Health Services, University of Health Sciences, Mekteb-i Tibbiye-i Sahane (Haydarpasa) Kulliyesi, Selimiye Mah. Tibbiye Cad. No: 38, 34668 Uskudar, Istanbul, Turkey.

Received: 4 March 2021 Accepted: 25 August 2021 Published online: 06 September 2021

References

- Chakraborty I, Maity P. COVID-19 outbreak. Migration, effects on society, global environment and prevention. Sci Total Environ. 2020;728:138882. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138882.
- World Health Organization. Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) SITUATION REPORT—1, 20 January 2020. Geneva: WHO; 2020. Available at https:// www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200 121-sitrep-1-2019-ncov.pdf?sfvrsn=20a99c10_4. Accessed August 2020.
- Cascella M, Rajnik M, Cuomo A, Dulebohn SC, Di Napoli R. Features, evaluation and treatment coronavirus (COVID-19). In: Dulebohn SC, editor. Statpearls [Internet]. Treasure Island: StatPearls Publishing; 2020.

- The Republic of Turkey Ministry of Health. COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2 Infection) (Study of Scientific Board) General Information, Epidemiology and Diagnosis. 30 May 2020. Available at https://hsgm.saglik.gov.tr/depo/ covid19/Ingilizce/Rehber/COVID-19_Rehberi__Genel_bilgiler_epide miyoloji_ve_tani_8.06.2020_eng.pdf. Accessed August 2020.
- World Health Organization. Modes of transmission of virus causing COVID-19: implications for IPC precaution recommendations: scientific brief, 29 March 2020. Available at https://www.who.int/publications/i/ item/modes-of-transmission-of-virus-causing-covid-19-implications-foripc-precaution-recommendations. Accessed August 2020.
- Patel KP, Vunnam SR, Patel PA, Krill KL, Korbitz PM, Gallagher JP, Suh JE, Vunnam RR. Transmission of SARS-CoV-2: an update of current literature. Euro J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2020;39(11):2005–11. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s10096-020-03961-1.
- Sun C-B, Wang Y-Y, Liu G-H, Liu Z. Role of the eye in transmitting human coronavirus: what we know and what we do not know. Front Public Health. 2020. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00155.
- Jun ISY, Anderson DE, Kang AEZ, Wang L, Rao P, Young BE, Lye DC, Agrawal R. Assessing viral shedding and infectivity of tears in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients. Ophthalmology. 2020;127(7):977–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2020.03.026.
- Pan F, Ye T, Sun P, et al. Time course of lung changes on chest CT during recovery from, novel coronavirus (COVID-19) pneumonia. Radiology. 2019;2:200370. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2020200370.
- Anderson EL, Turnham P, Griffin JR, Clarke CC. Consideration of the aerosol transmission for COVID-19 and public health. Risk Anal. 2020;40(5):902–7. https://doi.org/10.1111/risa.13500.
- Belser JA, Rota PA, Tumpey TM. Ocular tropism of respiratory viruses. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2013;77(1):144–56. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR. 00058-12.
- Deng W, Bao L, Gao H, Xiang Z, Qu Y, et al. Ocular conjunctival inoculation of SARS-CoV-2 can cause mild COVID-19 in Rhesus macaques. bioRxiv. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.13.990036.
- 13. Zhou P, Yang XL, Wang XG, Hu B, Zhang L, et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature. 2020;579(7798):270–3. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7.
- Guo D, Xia J, Shen Y, Tong J. SARS-CoV-2 may be related to conjunctivitis but not necessarily spread through the conjunctiva SARS-CoV-2 and conjunctiva. J Med Virol. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.25856.
- Deng C, Yang Y, Chen H, Chen W, Chen Z, Ma K, Wang J. Ocular detection of SARS-CoV-2 in 114 cases of COVID-19 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: an observational study. (2/19/2020) 2020. Available at SSRN: https://ssrn. com/abstract=3543587. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3543587.
- Lu C-W, Liu X-F, Jia Z-F. 2019-nCoV transmission through the ocular surface must not be ignored. The Lancet (London, England). 2020;395(10224): e39. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30313-5.
- Guan W-J, Ni Z-Y, Hu Y, Liang W-H, Ou C-Q, He J-X, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(18):1708–20. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2002032.

- Chen L, Deng C, Chen X, Zhang X, Chen B, Yu H, et al. Ocular manifestations and clinical characteristics of 535 cases of COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a cross-sectional study. Acta Ophthalmol. 2020. https://doi.org/10. 1101/2020.03.12.20034678.
- Xia J, Tong J, Liu M, Shen Y, Guo D. Evaluation of coronavirus in tears and conjunctival secretions of patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. J Med Virol. 2020;92(6):589–94. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.25725.
- Fang Z, Zhang Y, Hang C, Ai J, Li S, Zhang W. Comparisons of viral shedding time of SARS-CoV-2 of different samples in ICU and non-ICU patients. J Infect. 2020;81(1):147–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.03. 013.
- 21. Liang L, Wu P. There may be virus in conjunctival secretion of patients with COVID-19. Acta Ophthalmol. 2020;98(3):223. https://doi.org/10. 1111/aos.14413.
- Wu P, Duan F, Luo C, Liu Q, Qu X, Liang L, Wu K. Characteristics of ocular findings of patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Hubei Province, China. JAMA Ophthalmol. 2020;138(5):575–8. https://doi.org/10. 1001/jamaophthalmol.2020.1291.
- Karimi S, Arabi A, Shahraki T, Safi S. Detection of severe acute respiratory syndrome Coronavirus-2 in the tears of patients with Coronavirus disease 2019. Eye (Lond). 2020;34(7):1220–3. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41433-020-0965-2.
- Kumar K, Prakash AA, Gangasagara SB, Rathod SB, Ravi K, Rangaiah A, et al. Presence of viral RNA of SARS-CoV-2 in conjunctival swab specimens of COVID-19 patients. Indian J Ophthalmol. 2020;68(6):1015–7. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijo.IJO_1287_20.
- Zhou Y, Zeng Y, Tong Y, Chen C. Ophthalmologic evidence against the interpersonal transmission of 2019 novel coronavirus through conjunctiva. MedRxiv. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.11.20021956.
- Sun X, Zhang X, Chen X, Chen L, Deng C, Zou X. The infection evidence of SARS-COV-2 in ocular surface: a single-center cross-sectional study. MedRxiv. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.26.20027938.
- Chen L, Liu M, Zhang Z, Qiao K, Huang T, Chen M, et al. Ocular manifestations of a hospitalised patient with confirmed 2019 novel coronavirus disease. Br J Ophthalmol. 2020;104(6):748–51. https://doi.org/10.1136/ bjophthalmol-2020-316304.
- Colavita F, Lapa D, Carletti F, Lalle E, Bordi L, Marsella P, et al. SARS-CoV-2 isolation from ocular secretions of a patient with COVID-19 in Italy with prolonged viral RNA detection. Ann Inter Med. 2020;173(3):242–3. https:// doi.org/10.7326/M20-1176.
- Navel V, Chiambaretta F, Dutheil F. Haemorrhagic conjunctivitis with pseudomembranous related to SARS-CoV-2. Am J Ophthalmol Case Rep. 2020;19: 100735. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajoc.2020.100735.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

