

POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

Biochemical mechanism of clinical resistance to rilpivirine

Kamalendra Singh^{1,2}, Devendra K Rai^{1,2}, Bechan Sharma^{3*}, Eleftherios Michailidis^{1,2}, Emily M Ryan^{1,2}, Kayla B Matzek¹, Maxwell D Leslie¹, Ariel N Hagedorn¹, Hong-Tao Xu⁴, Mark A Wainberg^{4,5}, Bruno Marchand¹, Stefan G Sarafianos^{1,2,6}

From First International Science Symposium on HIV and Infectious Diseases (HIV SCIENCE 2012) Chennai, India. 20-22 January 2012

Background

The introduction of HAART has significantly prolonged the life span of HIV-infected patients. However, the error-prone nature of HIV-1 reverse transcriptase (HIV-1 RT) results in the emergence of drug-resistant viruses and threatens the effectiveness of HAART. HIV-1 RT is a primary target of two classes of drugs: nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) and non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs). Recent phase III clinical trials have shown that two HIV-1 RT mutations, E138K and M184I, were the most frequent mutations found in patients that experienced virological failure during therapy that included rilpivirine (RPV), emtricitabine (FTC), and tenofovir (TDF).

Methods

To investigate the mechanistic basis for resistance caused by the E138K and M184I mutations we used transient kinetics to characterize the enzymatic properties and drug susceptibility of RTs with these mutations and determined the biochemical mechanism of resistance to RPV. Specifically, we compared wild-type (WT) RT to RTs mutated in one or both of the enzymatic subunits: p66M184I/p51M184I, p66E138K/p51E138K, p66E138K/p51M184I, p66E138K/p51WT, p66WT/p51138K.

Results

Our results show that M184I reduces the catalytic efficiency of RT by more than two-fold (p66M184I/

p51M184Ihas more than 2-fold reduced kpol/Kd.dNTP with respect to WT). This defect is compensated by mutation E138K either in both subunits or only in p51 subunit (p66WT/p51138K).

Conclusion

None of the mutations affected the template-primer binding affinity of RT. As expected, M184I does not reduce the susceptibility to RPV. Instead, RPV resistance is achieved by reduction in the binding affinity of the drug to RT because of the E138K mutation in the p51 subunit.

Author details

¹Christopher Bond Life Sciences Center, USA. ²Department of Molecular Microbiology & Immunology, University of Missouri, School of Medicine, Columbia, MO, USA. ³Department of Biochemistry, University of Allahabad, Allahabad, India. ⁴McGill University AIDS Centre, Lady Davis Institute for Medical Research, Jewish General Hospital, Canada. ⁵McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada. ⁶Department of Biochemistry, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, USA.

Published: 4 May 2012

doi:10.1186/1471-2334-12-S1-P94

Cite this article as: Singh et al.: Biochemical mechanism of clinical resistance to rilpivirine. BMC Infectious Diseases 2012 12(Suppl 1):P94.

³Department of Biochemistry, University of Allahabad, Allahabad, India Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



^{*} Correspondence: sharmabi@yahoo.com