

RESEARCH

Open Access



Can HIV self-testing reach first-time testers? A telephone survey among self-test end users in Côte d'Ivoire, Mali, and Senegal

Arsène Kouassi Kra^{1*}, Arlette Simo Fotso^{1,2}, Kouassi Noël N'guessan³, Olivier Geoffroy³, Sidibé Younoussa⁴, Odé Kanku Kabemba⁴, Papa Alioune Gueye⁵, Pauline Dama Ndeye⁵, Nicolas Rouveau¹, Marie-Claude Boily⁶, Romain Silhol⁶, Marc d'Elbée^{7,8}, Mathieu Maheu-Giroux⁹, Anthony Vautier⁵, Joseph Lamarange¹ and on behalf of the ATLAS team

Abstract

Background Coverage of HIV testing remains sub-optimal in West Africa. Between 2019 and 2022, the ATLAS program distributed ~400 000 oral HIV self-tests (HIVST) in Côte d'Ivoire, Mali, and Senegal, prioritising female sex workers (FSW) and men having sex with men (MSM), and relying on secondary redistribution of HIVST to partners, peers and clients to reach individuals not tested through conventional testing. This study assesses the proportion of first-time testers among HIVST users and the associated factors.

Methods A phone-based survey was implemented among HIVST users recruited using dedicated leaflets inviting them to anonymously call a free phone number. We collected socio-demographics, sexual behaviours, HIV testing history, HIVST use, and satisfaction with HIVST. We reported the proportion of first-time testers and computed associated factors using logistic regression.

Results Between March and June 2021, 2 615 participants were recruited for 50 940 distributed HIVST (participation rate: 5.1%). Among participants, 30% received their HIVST kit through secondary distribution (from a friend, sexual partner, family member, or colleague).

The proportion who had never tested for HIV before HIVST (first-time testers) was 41%. The main factors associated with being a first-time tester were sex, age group, education level, condom use, and secondary distribution. A higher proportion was observed among those aged 24 years or less (55% vs 32% for 25–34, aOR: 0.37 [95%CI: 0.30–0.44], and 26% for 35 years or more, aOR: 0.28 [0.21–0.37]); those less educated (48% for none/primary education vs 45% for secondary education, aOR: 0.60 [0.47–0.77], and 29% for higher education, aOR: 0.33 [0.25–0.44]). A lower proportion was observed among women (37% vs 43%, aOR: 0.49 [0.40–0.60]); those reporting always using a condom over the last year (36% vs 51% for those reporting never using them, aOR: 2.02 [1.59–2.56]); and those who received their HIVST kit through primary distribution (39% vs 46% for secondary distribution, aOR: 1.32 [1.08–1.60]).

Conclusion ATLAS HIVST strategy, including secondary distribution, successfully reached a significant proportion of first-time testers. HIVST has the potential to reach underserved populations and contribute to the expansion of HIV testing services in West Africa.

*Correspondence:

Arsène Kouassi Kra
arsene.kra.kouassi@ceped.org

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023, corrected publication 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Keywords HIV self-testing, Phone-based survey, Key populations, West Africa, Côte d'Ivoire, Mali, Senegal

Introduction

HIV testing is the first step in the prevention and care cascade. The earlier a person is diagnosed with HIV, the sooner they can start antiretroviral therapy and the lower is their risk of death and of onward HIV transmission [1–4]. In 2020, only 81% of people living with HIV in Western and Central Africa knew their status [5], far from the 95% target set by UNAIDS for 2025.

Over the past 15 years, with the increasing recognition of the particular HIV transmission dynamics in West African countries (i.e., generalised and concentrated epidemics), national AIDS programs have developed actions specifically focusing on key populations [6, 7], such as female sex workers (FSW), men who have sex with men (MSM), and more recently people who use drugs (PWUD). Community-based activities and outreach have improved access to HIV testing for some. However, subgroups of these key populations (e.g., occasional FSW, “hidden” MSM), as well as their social networks (e.g., sexual partners, clients), remain difficult to reach by peer educators [8]. The socio-cultural, political and sometimes legal stigma they face further limits access to services [9].

The World Health Organization (WHO) has recommended HIV self-testing (HIVST) as a complementary testing approach since 2016 [10]. Following the experience gained in Eastern and Southern Africa through the STAR project [11–17], the funding agency Unitaid decided to promote HIV self-testing in West Africa. The ATLAS program (*AutoTest de dépistage du VIH: Libre d'Accéder à la connaissance de son Statut*) aimed to promote, implement and scale-dup HIVST in Côte d'Ivoire, Mali and Senegal.

To preserve the anonymity and confidentiality of HIVST and not impede their use, ATLAS decided, in line with WHO recommendations, not to track the uses and results of distributed HIVST kits. Such tracking can be logistically challenging, costly, and could limit the distribution, redistribution, and use of HIVST. Further, it is not in line with the philosophy of HIVST, whereby users can anonymously decide when and where they are tested and if and to whom they want to report their results [18].

A previous analysis using routinely collected programmatic data showed that the ATLAS strategy positively impacted access to HIV testing and new diagnoses at the population level [19]. However, such a statistical approach based on aggregated data cannot document the socio-demographic profile of HIVST users or their HIV testing history. It is unknown if individuals reached through HIVST, including secondary distribution, are

similar to those reached through conventional testing approaches.

Therefore, an innovative survey was designed to collect data from HIVST end-users while preserving anonymity and voluntary participation by establishing an anonymous and free telephone platform in Côte d'Ivoire, Mali and Senegal. Using data collected through this survey, this paper assesses, more specifically, the proportion of participants who never tested for HIV before using HIVST (first-time testers) and the associated factors.

Materials and methods

ATLAS program description

ATLAS HIVST distribution was integrated into existing testing policies, programmes and activities, and 397 367 HIVST kits were freely distributed between July 2019 and February 2022 as part of the three countries' national AIDS strategies. At the time of ATLAS's implementation in 2019, only small-scale HIVST pilot programs were previously conducted in Senegal and Côte d'Ivoire and no previous experience in Mali.

In addition to the manufacturer's instructions, locally adapted brochures describing the steps for performing HIVST and explanatory videos in French and local languages were developed to assist users in performing the test. These also encouraged those with a reactive result to seek HIV testing confirmation and care. Existing toll-free hotlines in each country were strengthened and trained on HIVST. Only oral HIV self-tests (OraQuick HIV Self-Test® from OraSure Technologies, LLC Bethlehem) were distributed through ATLAS. These self-tests were prequalified by the WHO and validated by the three countries.

The design of the different delivery channels and the priority populations were chosen with country stakeholders: national AIDS programs/councils, international institutions including the WHO, international and national non-governmental organisations involved in local HIV programs, and civil society and community leaders. ATLAS HIVST distribution was organised through eight different operational delivery channels (Fig. 1): five were facility-based (delivery of HIVST kits through public or community-based health facilities), and three used community-based approaches involving outreach activities engaging FSW, MSM, and PWUD [20]. Peer educators conducted these outreach activities through group activities (e.g., talks, discussion groups, night visits, social events, and parties) and face-to-face activities (e.g., home visits). Outreach activities represented most (~85%) of ATLAS's distribution volume.

ATLAS delivery channels to reach key populations and other vulnerable populations




Fig. 1 ATLAS delivery channels

ATLAS’s activities relied on primary distribution – HIVST kits were distributed by peer educators and healthcare professionals to primary contacts for personal use (assisted or non-assisted testing at the user’s convenience)– and secondary distribution, where primary contacts were invited to redistribute some HIVST kits to their peers, sexual partners, and clients. Therefore, these secondary contacts were often key population members usually not seen by peer educators, or vulnerable groups (e.g. clients of FSW or female partners of MSM) usually not included in the definitions of key populations [21]. This type of chain-referral distribution of HIVST implies that HIVST end-users are not limited to primary contacts and can potentially reach hidden populations.

Study design

The ATLAS program embedded multiple research activities – from qualitative studies to economic analyses – which have been described elsewhere [20]. It also included a survey based on voluntary participation (“passive” recruitment) using a free and anonymous telephone platform in the three countries. To test the feasibility of such a survey and identify relevant adaptations of the survey design, a pilot study was conducted between November 2019 and June 2020 in Côte d’Ivoire [22].

The full-scale telephone survey used in this paper was conducted in the three countries from mid-March to mid-June 2021. During this period, a specific survey

leaflet was distributed alongside all HIVST. The front of the leaflet introduced the survey and provided information on the enrolment and survey procedures (Fig. 2). The back included an information sheet about the survey and ethical aspects.

Participants in each country were invited to call a country-specific toll-free number dedicated to the survey. All calls from the three countries were rerouted to a phone platform located in Abidjan and operated by Ipsos Côte d’Ivoire, which was selected after an international call for tenders.

During the pilot test, some people appeared reluctant to call a toll-free number because they feared being billed anyway [22]. Therefore, in the full-scale survey, it was also possible to participate by giving a missed call (a telephone call that the caller deliberately terminates before being answered by the recipient) or sending an SMS or a WhatsApp message to a conventional local number. Then, an interviewer called back the participant.

The pilot survey showed that a financial incentive would be needed to recruit participants [22]. In the formal survey, participants received 2 000 XOF (≈3.40 USD) of telephone credit (to be applied to a telephone number of the respondent’s choice) as compensation for the time dedicated to the survey.

As the survey was anonymous, there was a risk that some HIVST users may participate more than once or that individuals who have never used HIVST tried to




Fig. 2 Flowchart of the survey participant recruitment process

participate to receive the financial incentive. In order to limit these risks, several measures were taken: (i) the leaflet distributed with the HIVST kits had a unique 9-digit number generated by the research team that was requested prior to participation in the survey; (ii) the same unique number could not be used twice; (iii) the financial incentive was only paid out once the questionnaire was fully completed (individuals remained free to refuse to answer any particular question); (iv) the same telephone number could not be used twice to receive the telephone credit. These unique 9-digit numbers were generated non-sequentially and were grouped by country, delivery channel and implementing partner. Thus, any unique number could indirectly identify the delivery channel where the HIVST kit was initially dispensed (Fig. 3).

Eligibility criteria

Eligibility criteria for survey participation were (i) to be of legal age to use an HIVST without parental authorisation (16 years old in Côte d'Ivoire, 18 years in Mali, 15 years in Senegal); (ii) to have already used the HIVST kit received as part of this research; (iii) to have a survey invitation leaflet with a valid unique number; (iv) not to have already participated in the survey. As minor participants were of legal age in their country to test for HIV without parental authorisation, and as it was not an



interventional survey, we were authorised by WHO and national ethical research committees to collect their own consent for participation without parental consent.

Data collection




Regardless of participation mode (respondent-initiated call or called-back by the interviewer), the interviewer first presented the survey, verified eligibility criteria, collected verbal consent, and then administered the questionnaire. Date-time of verbal consent was recorded. The questionnaire lasted 20 to 30 min and collected socio-demographic characteristics, HIV testing history, a few questions on sexual and HIV prevention behaviours, use of HIVST and whether any difficulties were encountered when using the HIVST kit. It could be administered in French, English, Bambara or Wolof. On-the-fly translation by the interviewer into other local languages was also possible.



Interviews were not audio-recorded. Questionnaires were captured on a computer by interviewers and stored in a database managed by PAC-CI, an Ivorian research institute with a long experience in clinical research. No direct identifier (such as name) was collected. To maintain confidentiality, at the end of the survey, collected telephone numbers (for appointments and rewards) were deleted from the database. It was the only indirect identifier in the database. After that step, the database could



Comment participer ?

- 1** Je me dépiste avec le kit d'autodépistage et j'interprète le résultat 
- 2** Je m'assure que je suis disponible pour 15 à 25 minutes ET que j'ai à côté de moi ce flyer 


3 options possibles

- a/** J'appelle gratuitement le numéro vert 86010  J'attends qu'un enquêteur décroche
- b/** ou je bipe le (07) 87 87 21 62  J'attends qu'un enquêteur me rappelle
- c/** ou j'envoie "PARTICIPER" par SMS ou WhatsApp au (07) 87 87 21 62  J'attends qu'un enquêteur me rappelle

- 4** L'enquêteur vérifie que je suis éligible à l'enquête 
- 5** Je réponds au questionnaire 

- 6** Pour avoir répondu au questionnaire, j'ai droit à un dédommagement de 2000 FCFA sous forme de crédit téléphonique 
- 7** Si je souhaite recevoir mon crédit téléphonique, j'indique sur quel numéro je veux le recevoir 

Numéro de participation



atlas

Votre avis compte !

Les autotests de dépistage du VIH sont une innovation introduite depuis peu en Côte d'Ivoire. Pour améliorer les politiques de dépistage de Côte d'Ivoire, il est important de connaître le point de vue des utilisatrices et des utilisateurs.

Le projet ATLAS vous serait reconnaissant si vous acceptiez de participer à une courte enquête anonyme et gratuite d'une quinzaine de minutes.

Participez anonymement à l'enquête au 86010 (n° vert)

Appelez gratuitement le 86010
ou
Bipez-nous ou envoyez un SMS ou un message WhatsApp au (07) 87 87 21 62 pour être rappelé par un enquêteur

Du lundi au samedi de 12h à 21h

Pour vous remercier de votre participation

2000 CFA

de crédit téléphoniques offert

Vous ne pouvez participer qu'une seule fois à l'enquête

crédit photo JC Frisque / Solthis

crédit photo B. Demessq

Fig. 3 Recto of the leaflet distributed with HIVST kits to invite users to participate in the survey (Ivorian version)

therefore be considered anonymous according to the European General Data Protection Regulation. All procedures were described in a publicly available data management plan (<https://dmp.opidor.fr/plans/3354/export.pdf>).

Regarding support and referral about HIV, all HIVST kits included local and national contacts to dedicated NGOs and a national toll-free hotline dedicated to HIV. In addition, at the end of the survey interview, participants were systematically referred to the national toll-free hotline if they needed additional information or support.

Data analysis

To estimate an overall participation rate, we used as a denominator the number of HIVST kits distributed by implementing partners over the study period, as a survey leaflet inviting to participate was systematically included with HIVST kits during that period. The number of distributed HIVST kits was obtained from the activity reports of the implementing partners [23]. Participation rates were calculated by country and distribution channel.

Participant characteristics were described in terms of country, sex and distribution channel, age groups, marital status, education levels, perceived poverty, perceived health, testing history, perceived risk of exposure to HIV, number of sexual partners (last 12 months), difficulties encountered with HIVST, waiting time before reading the HIVST result, and satisfaction with HIVST.

Participants' HIV testing history (last test \leq 12 months, last test $>$ 12 months, never tested before) was described in relation to these different variables. The p -values were obtained using the Pearson chi-square test to measure associations with it.

The outcome measured was the proportion of first-time testers, i.e. proportion who had never tested before using HIVST. Univariate and multivariate logistic regressions were performed to determine the factors associated with the probability of being a first-time tester. We considered an interaction between sex and distribution channel. The full multivariate model was reduced using a stepwise top-down approach by minimising the Akaike information criterion (AIC). Unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios were reported with their 95% confidence

intervals. Global p -values for model covariates were computed using an analysis of variance (Anova).

All analyses have been performed using R version 4.3.0 [24]. Tables were generated with gtsummary [25]. A dedicated dataset and an R script are provided for replication (Additional files 1 and 2).

Results

Recruitment and participation rates

During the survey period, 50 940 HIVST kits were distributed with a survey leaflet. A total of 2 882 telephone calls were recorded: 2 810 (98%) were initiated by the participants by calling the free phone number directly, and 72 (2%) gave a missed call or sent a text message (SMS, WhatsApp) to the conventional local number and were called back by an interviewer (Fig. 2 and Additional file 5). Of the 2 882 calls, 223 did not provide a valid 9-digit participation number, 31 had already participated, 6 could not be reached after making an appointment, 4 dropped out during the interview, and 3 were underage. In total, 2 615 questionnaires were completed.

The overall participation rate was 5% (2 615/50 940, Table 1). Participation was higher in Côte d'Ivoire (6%, 1 390/23 331) and Mali (5%, 989/20 268) than in Senegal (3%, 241/7 341). The participation rate was 9% (1 100/12 624) among MSM-based channels (outreach activities or fixed clinics), 4% (1 305/ 32 972) in FSW-based distribution channels (outreach activities or fixed clinics), and 4% (210/5 344) in other channels (e.g., PWUD-based channels, index testing, STI consultations).

Participants characteristics

Across the three countries, 45% of participants were 24 years or less, and 41% were 25–34 years (Table 2). More than half (55%) had secondary education, and 26% had higher education. In terms of perceived financial situation, 53% reported being “poor” or “very poor”. Half (50%) reported they thought they were “not at all exposed” to the risk of acquiring HIV. Participants were asked how they would qualify their health compared to people of their age: 78% perceived their health status as “satisfactory” or “very satisfactory” (Table 3). Over the last 12 months, 19% reported no sexual partner, 42% reported 1 or 2 partners, and 39% three or more.

Of the participants recruited through FSW-based channels, 48% (620/1 305) were men. Of those recruited through MSM-based channels, 9% (103/1 100) were female. Of the 997 men who participated in the MSM channels, only 52% reported having sex with a man (Additional file 6).

Primary or secondary distribution, HIVST use, and reported difficulties

Among all the participants, 30% received their HIVST kits through secondary distribution: 16% reported receiving it from a friend, 7% from a sexual partner, 6% from a relative and 1% from a colleague.

The respondents reported very few difficulties in using HIVST: 97% reported no difficulty understanding how to use it, 99% had no difficulty collecting the oral fluid, and 98% had no difficulty reading the test result (Table 4).

Three-quarters (75%) correctly reported that they waited between 20 and 40 min before reading the HIVST result, while 20% waited less than 20 min and 2% more than 40 min.

Overall, 57% found the HIVST “very easy” and 42% “easy” to use. After performing the HIVST, 89% were “totally satisfied”, and 10% were “partially satisfied”. Almost all the respondents said they appreciated HIVST's ease of use, its discretion, the fact that they were autonomous in performing the test and that the latter was free.

Proportion of first-time testers and associated factors

Among all the participants, 41% (1 078/2 615) had never been tested for HIV before their HIVST (first-time testers), 20% (534/2 615) had their last test more than 12 months ago, and 38% (1 003/2 615) had a recent HIV test (last test within the past 12 months) (Table 2).

Associated factors (univariate and multivariate analysis) are presented in Table 5 (average marginal predictions of the multivariate model are reported in Additional file 7).

The proportion of first-time testers was similar in Côte d'Ivoire (32%) and Senegal (31%) and significantly higher in Mali (57%, compared to Côte d'Ivoire, adjusted OR: 2.95 [95% Confidence Interval: 2.42–3.60]).

In univariate analysis, the proportion of first-time testers varied significantly ($p=0.002$) by distribution channel: 44% in MSM-based channel, 40% in FSW-based channels and 32% in other channels. However, this variable was no more significant in the full model and not retained in the reduced model.

Sex, age group, education level, condom use, and secondary distribution were strongly ($p<0.01$) associated with being a first-time tester. Among women, 37% were first-time testers vs 43% among men (adjusted Odds Ratio: 0.49 [95% Confidence Interval: 0.40–0.60]). Those aged 24 years or less were more likely to be first-time testers: 55% vs 32% for 25–34 years old (aOR: 0.37 [0.30–0.44]), and 26% for those aged 35 years or more (aOR: 0.28 [0.21–0.37]). That proportion was higher among those less educated: 48% for none/primary education vs 45% for secondary education (aOR: 0.60 [0.47–0.77]), and

Table 1 Number of completed questionnaires, distributed HIVST during the study period and participation rate, by distribution channel and country

| Country HIVST distribution channel | Côte d'Ivoire | | | Mali | | | Senegal | | | All | | |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| | Completed quest. | Distributed HIVST | Participation rate (%) | Completed quest. | Distributed HIVST | Participation rate (%) | Completed quest. | Distributed HIVST | Participation rate (%) | Completed quest. | Distributed HIVST | Participation rate (%) |
| FSW-based channels | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Outreach activities prioritising FSW | 584 | 12 308 | 4.7 | 629 | 16 943 | 3.7 | 92 | 3 721 | 2.5 | 1 305 | 32 972 | 4.0 |
| FSW clinics | 14 | 335 | 4.2 | 78 | 1 469 | 5.3 | 0 | 132 | 0.0 | 92 | 1 936 | 4.7 |
| MSM-based channels | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Outreach activities prioritising MSM | 723 | 7 573 | 9.5 | 335 | 2 564 | 13.1 | 42 | 2 487 | 1.7 | 1 100 | 12 624 | 8.7 |
| MSM clinics | 706 | 7 176 | 9.8 | 324 | 2 436 | 13.3 | 42 | 2 419 | 1.7 | 1 072 | 12 030 | 8.9 |
| Other delivery channels | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| STI consultations | 17 | 397 | 4.3 | 11 | 128 | 8.6 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 28 | 593 | 4.7 |
| Index testing | 83 | 3 450 | 2.4 | 20 | 761 | 2.6 | 107 | 1 133 | 9.4 | 210 | 5344 | 3.9 |
| Outreach activities prioritising PWUD | 29 | 1 467 | 2.0 | 10 | 382 | 2.6 | 50 | 396 | 1.3 | 89 | 2 245 | 4.0 |
| PWUD clinics | 20 | 1 043 | 1.9 | 10 | 379 | 2.6 | 9 | 147 | 6.1 | 39 | 1 569 | 2.5 |
| Total | 31 | 850 | 3.6 | - | - | - | 23 | 334 | 7.2 | 54 | 1 184 | 4.6 |
| | 3 | 90 | 3.3 | - | - | - | 25 | 256 | 9.8 | 28 | 346 | 8.1 |
| | 1 390 | 23 331 | 6.0 | 984 | 20 268 | 4.8 | 241 | 7 341 | 3.3 | 2 615 | 50 940 | 5.1 |

Quest. Questionnaire, FSW Female sex workers, PWUD People who use drugs, MSM Men having sex with men, STI Sexually transmitted disease

Table 2 Socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants peer HIV testing history

| | Profile of participants n(%) | HIV testing history n(%) | | | P-value (Pearson's Chi-squared test) |
|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| | | Last test 12 months or more n = 534 (20.4%) | Last test less than 12 months n = 1 003 (38.4%) | Never tested before n = 1 078 (41.2%) | |
| Country | | | | | < 0.001 |
| Côte d'Ivoire | 1 390 (53.2%) | 636 (45.8%) | 308 (22.2%) | 446 (32.1%) | |
| Mali | 984 (37.6%) | 278 (28.3%) | 148 (15.0%) | 558 (56.7%) | |
| Senegal | 241 (9.2%) | 89 (36.9%) | 78 (32.4%) | 74 (30.7%) | |
| Age group | | | | | < 0.001 |
| 24 years or less | 1 164 (44.5%) | 379 (32.6%) | 148 (12.7%) | 637 (54.7%) | |
| 25–34 years | 1 063 (40.7%) | 464 (43.7%) | 260 (24.5%) | 339 (31.9%) | |
| 35 years or more | 388 (14.8%) | 160 (41.2%) | 126 (32.5%) | 102 (26.3%) | |
| Sex & Distribution channel | | | | | < 0.001 |
| Man / FSW-based channels | 620 (23.7%) | 214 (34.5%) | 145 (23.4%) | 261 (42.1%) | |
| Woman / FSW-based channels | 685 (26.2%) | 260 (38.0%) | 161 (23.5%) | 264 (38.5%) | |
| Man / MSM-based channels | 997 (38.1%) | 405 (40.6%) | 139 (13.9%) | 453 (45.4%) | |
| Woman / MSM-based channels | 103 (3.9%) | 48 (46.6%) | 22 (21.4%) | 33 (32.0%) | |
| Man / other delivery channels | 137 (5.2%) | 47 (34.3%) | 45 (32.8%) | 45 (32.8%) | |
| Woman / other delivery channels | 73 (2.8%) | 29 (39.7%) | 22 (30.1%) | 22 (30.1%) | |
| Marital status | | | | | 0.013 |
| Single | 1 761 (67.3%) | 696 (39.5%) | 332 (18.9%) | 733 (41.6%) | |
| Divorced / separated / widowed | 97 (3.7%) | 37 (38.1%) | 29 (29.9%) | 31 (32.0%) | |
| Living with partner / married | 757 (28.9%) | 270 (35.7%) | 173 (22.9%) | 314 (41.5%) | |
| Educational level | | | | | < 0.001 |
| None / primary | 503 (19.2%) | 168 (33.4%) | 96 (19.1%) | 239 (47.5%) | |
| Secondary | 1 432 (54.8%) | 499 (34.8%) | 291 (20.3%) | 642 (44.8%) | |
| Higher | 680 (26.0%) | 336 (49.4%) | 147 (21.6%) | 197 (29.0%) | |
| Financially, would you say that | | | | | < 0.001 |
| You are comfortable | 449 (17.2%) | 201 (44.8%) | 57 (12.7%) | 191 (42.5%) | |
| Your income is enough | 783 (29.9%) | 304 (38.8%) | 183 (23.4%) | 296 (37.8%) | |
| You are poor | 1 173 (44.9%) | 434 (37.0%) | 254 (21.7%) | 485 (41.3%) | |
| You are very poor | 210 (8.0%) | 64 (30.5%) | 40 (19.0%) | 106 (50.5%) | |

29% for higher education (aOR: 0.33 [0.25–0.44]). Those reporting always using a condom over the last year were less likely to test for the first time: 36% vs 51% for those reporting never using them (aOR: 2.02 [1.59–2.56]), and 62% for those who never had sex (aOR: 2.98 [1.91–4.38]). Those who received their HIVST kit through primary distribution were also less likely to be first-time testers: 39% vs 46% for secondary distribution (aOR: 1.32 [1.08–1.60]).

Perceived financial status was moderately associated with being a first-time tester ($p=0.045$), but without any clear trend.

Discussion

We found that the strategy deployed by the ATLAS program reached a significant proportion of first-time testers in Côte d'Ivoire, Mali and Senegal: 41% reported

never having been tested for HIV before using HIVST. Males, younger, less educated individuals, and those who did not have sex or never used condoms in the last 12 months were more likely to be first-time testers, as well as those who received their HIVST kit through secondary distribution.

Although ATLAS distribution was integrated into activities focussing mainly on key populations (in particular, FSW and MSM), individuals reached by HIVST differ from those usually reached by conventional outreach strategies or those enrolled in key population surveys.

In Côte d'Ivoire, the proportion of first-time testers among women in FSW-based channels was 26% (95%CI: 20% to 32%, Additional file 8). In two surveys conducted among FSW in Côte d'Ivoire, the proportion who never tested for HIV was only 11% in the 2016/17 PrEP-CI

Table 3 Participants' perceived health, HIV risk, sexual behavior, and condom use in relation to HIV testing history

| | Profile of participants n(%) | HIV testing history n(%) | | | p-value (Pearson's Chi-squared test) |
|---|---------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| | | Last test 12 months or more n = 534 (20.4%) | Last test less than 12 months n = 1 003 (38.4%) | Never tested before n = 1 078 (41.2%) | |
| Compared to people of your age would you say your health is | | | | | 0.002 |
| Very satisfactory | 1 549 (59.2%) | 591 (38.2%) | 282 (18.2%) | 676 (43.6%) | |
| Quite satisfactory | 482 (18.4%) | 187 (38.8%) | 115 (23.9%) | 180 (37.3%) | |
| Unsatisfactory | 475 (18.2%) | 185 (38.9%) | 119 (25.1%) | 171 (36.0%) | |
| Not at all satisfactory | 109 (4.2%) | 40 (36.7%) | 18 (16.5%) | 51 (46.8%) | |
| How much do you think that you are exposed to the risk of acquiring HIV? | | | | | < 0.001 |
| Highly exposed | 481 (18.4%) | 165 (34.3%) | 99 (20.6%) | 217 (45.1%) | |
| Somewhat exposed | 824 (31.5%) | 337 (40.9%) | 212 (25.7%) | 275 (33.4%) | |
| Not at all exposed | 1 310 (50.1%) | 501 (38.2%) | 223 (17.0%) | 586 (44.7%) | |
| Number of sexual partners in the last 12 months | | | | | < 0.001 |
| 0 partner | 141 (5.4%) | 29 (20.6%) | 24 (17.0%) | 88 (62.4%) | |
| 1 to 2 partners | 1 095 (41.9%) | 417 (38.1%) | 234 (21.4%) | 444 (40.5%) | |
| 3 to 6 partners | 670 (25.6%) | 311 (46.4%) | 116 (17.3%) | 243 (36.3%) | |
| 7 partners or more | 360 (13.8%) | 140 (38.9%) | 88 (24.4%) | 132 (36.7%) | |
| DK-R | 349 (13.3%) | 106 (30.4%) | 72 (20.6%) | 171 (49.0%) | |
| Used condom in the last 12 months | | | | | < 0.001 |
| Always | 807 (30.9%) | 374 (46.3%) | 139 (17.2%) | 294 (36.4%) | |
| Occasionally | 969 (37.1%) | 416 (42.9%) | 218 (22.5%) | 335 (34.6%) | |
| Never | 633 (24.2%) | 168 (26.5%) | 144 (22.7%) | 321 (50.7%) | |
| Did not have sex | 141 (5.4%) | 29 (20.6%) | 24 (17.0%) | 88 (62.4%) | |
| DK-R | 65 (2.5%) | 16 (24.6%) | 9 (13.8%) | 40 (61.5%) | |

DK Don't Know, R Refused to answer

survey [26] and 19% in the 2020 IBSS (Integrated Biological and Behavioural Survey) [27]. In Senegal, the proportion of 25% (95%CI: 16% to 36%) of first-time testers was higher than the 21% observed in 2017/18 in a pilot project on HIVST conducted by the NGO Enda Santé [28].

For men recruited through MSM-based channels, the proportion of first-time testers was 37% (95%CI: 33% to 40%) in Côte d'Ivoire, to be compared with the proportion who never tested for HIV in three surveys conducted among MSM and using a respondent-driven sampling approach: 11% in the 2018 DOD-CI (Demande et Offre de Dépistage du VIH et des hépatites virales B et C en Côte d'Ivoire) MSM survey [29], 29% in the 2015 IBBS [30], and 30% in the 2020 IBBS [31]. In Mali, in our survey, first-time testers were 67% (95%CI: 61% to 72%) among men from the MSM-based channels. The proportion of MSM who never tested for HIV was 25% in the Malian 2015 IBBS survey [32]. In Senegal, only 25% (95%CI: 13% to 41%) of men in MSM-based channels surveyed were first-time testers, compared to 42% in

the 2007 ELIHoS (Évaluer les interventions auprès des homosexuels masculins au Sénégal) survey [33] and 46% in the 2017/18 Enda Santé pilot project [28]. Our results confirm the perception of ATLAS providers that HIVST can reach people not attained by conventional testing approaches [34]. This has also been reported in Kenya, Senegal, USA [28, 35, 36] and in a literature review of 11 studies on HIVST [37].

We found that all participants were able to use the HIVST effectively. Although ease of use is not necessarily synonymous with correct use, survey participants reported few difficulties in using HIVST, suggesting that the accompanying tools (information leaflets, instructions for use, videos) were appropriate. Almost all study participants reported that they appreciated the discretion of the HIV test, the fact that they were autonomous in performing the test, and that the test was free of charge, as reported in other studies [38–42].

The youngest participants were likelier to test for the first time, suggesting an interest in HIVST in this

Table 4 Primary or secondary distribution, HIVST use, reported difficulties with HIVST peer HIV testing history

| | Profile of participants n(%) | HIV testing history n(%) | | | p-value (Pearson's Chi-squared test) |
|---|---------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| | | Last test 12 months or more n = 534 (20.4%) | Last test less than 12 months n = 1 003 (38.4%) | Never tested before n = 1 078 (41.2%) | |
| How did you get the HIVST kit? Who gave you the HIVST kit? | | | | | 0.003 |
| Primary distribution (health professional, community agent/peer-educator) | 1 815 (69.4%) | 726 (40.0%) | 380 (20.9%) | 709 (39.1%) | |
| Secondary distribution (sexual partner, friend, colleague, relative) | 800 (30.6%) | 277 (34.6%) | 154 (19.2%) | 369 (46.1%) | |
| Did you have trouble understanding the instructions? | | | | | 0.2 |
| Yes | 69 (2.6%) | 33 (47.8%) | 15 (21.7%) | 21 (30.4%) | |
| No | 2 546 (97.4%) | 970 (38.1%) | 519 (20.4%) | 1 057 (41.5%) | |
| Did you have difficulty collecting the oral fluid? | | | | | > 0.9 |
| Yes | 31 (1.2%) | 12 (38.7%) | 7 (22.6%) | 12 (38.7%) | |
| No | 2 584 (98.8%) | 991 (38.4%) | 527 (20.4%) | 1 066 (41.3%) | |
| How long did you wait before reading the result? | | | | | 0.3 |
| Under 20 min | 528 (20.2%) | 198 (37.5%) | 98 (18.6%) | 232 (43.9%) | |
| Between 20 and 40 min | 1 973 (75.4%) | 760 (38.5%) | 419 (21.2%) | 794 (40.2%) | |
| More than 40 min | 60 (2.3%) | 27 (45.0%) | 8 (13.3%) | 25 (41.7%) | |
| Do not know | 54 (2.1%) | 18 (33.3%) | 9 (16.7%) | 27 (50.0%) | |
| Did you have difficulty reading the result? | | | | | 0.8 |
| Yes | 66 (2.5%) | 28 (42.4%) | 12 (18.2%) | 26 (39.4%) | |
| No | 2 549 (97.5%) | 975 (38.3%) | 522 (20.5%) | 1 052 (41.3%) | |
| Would you say that the use of HIVST was? | | | | | < 0.001 |
| Very simple | 1 482 (56.7%) | 604 (40.8%) | 262 (17.7%) | 616 (41.6%) | |
| Simple | 1 092 (41.8%) | 376 (34.4%) | 265 (24.3%) | 451 (41.3%) | |
| Not simple / not at all simple | 30 (1.1%) | 23 (56.1%) | 7 (17.1%) | 11 (26.8%) | |
| Would you say that reading HIVST result was? | | | | | 0.014 |
| Very easy | 1 072 (41.0%) | 427 (39.8%) | 183 (17.1%) | 462 (43.1%) | |
| Easy | 1 403 (53.7%) | 514 (36.6%) | 322 (23.0%) | 567 (40.4%) | |
| Not easy | 108 (4.1%) | 49 (45.4%) | 22 (20.4%) | 37 (34.3%) | |
| Not at all easy | 41 (1.6%) | 13 (40.6%) | 7 (21.9%) | 12 (37.5%) | |
| After using HIVST, would you say that you are? | | | | | 0.9 |
| Totally satisfied | 2 329 (89.1%) | 890 (38.2%) | 477 (20.5%) | 962 (41.3%) | |
| Partially satisfied | 269 (10.3%) | 108 (40.1%) | 52 (19.3%) | 109 (40.5%) | |
| Not satisfied | 11 (0.4%) | 3 (27.3%) | 4 (36.4%) | 4 (36.4%) | |
| Not at all satisfied | 6 (0.2%) | 2 (33.3%) | 1 (16.7%) | 3 (50.0%) | |

population. Previous studies conducted in Malawi have reported that adolescents are more likely to use self-tests than older individuals [43, 44]. In a mixed-methods study conducted in Malawi and Zambia, adolescents and young adults appreciated HIVST because it offered them

greater autonomy and control over the HIV testing process, particularly regarding the location and timing of the test and the disclosure of results [45].

The higher proportion of first-time testers among participants who received their kit through secondary

Table 5 Proportion of first-time testers among surveyed HIVST users and associated factors (univariate and multivariate logistic regression)

| | Never tested before N | Univarié | | | Multivarié | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------|------------|---------|------------|------------|---------|
| | | OR | 95% CI | p-value | ORa | 95% CI | p-value |
| Country | | | | < 0.001 | | | < 0.001 |
| Côte d'Ivoire | 32.1% (446/1 390) | — | — | | — | — | |
| Mali | 56.7% (558/984) | 2.77 | 2.34, 3.28 | | 2.95 | 2.42, 3.60 | |
| Senegal | 30.7% (74/241) | 0.94 | 0.69, 1.26 | | 1.03 | 0.73, 1.45 | |
| Sex | | | | 0.002 | | | < 0.001 |
| Man | 43.3% (759/1 754) | — | — | | — | — | |
| Woman | 37.0% (319/861) | 0.77 | 0.65, 0.91 | | 0.49 | 0.40, 0.60 | |
| HIVST distribution channel | | | | 0.002 | | | |
| FSW-based channels | 40.2% (525/1 305) | — | — | | — | — | |
| MSM-based channels | 44.2% (486/1 100) | 1.18 | 1.00, 1.38 | | | | |
| Other delivery channels | 31.9% (67/210) | 0.70 | 0.51, 0.95 | | | | |
| Age group | | | | < 0.001 | | | < 0.001 |
| 24 years or less | 54.7% (637/1 164) | — | — | | — | — | |
| 25–34 years | 31.9% (339/1 063) | 0.39 | 0.33, 0.46 | | 0.37 | 0.30, 0.44 | |
| 35 years or more | 26.3% (102/388) | 0.30 | 0.23, 0.38 | | 0.28 | 0.21, 0.37 | |
| Marital status | | | | 0.16 | | | |
| Single | 41.6% (733/1 761) | — | — | | — | — | |
| Divorced / separated / widowed | 32.0% (31/97) | 0.66 | 0.42, 1.01 | | | | |
| Living with partner / married | 41.5% (314/757) | 0.99 | 0.84, 1.18 | | | | |
| Educational level | | | | < 0.001 | | | < 0.001 |
| None / primary | 47.5% (239/503) | — | — | | — | — | |
| Secondary | 44.8% (642/1 432) | 0.90 | 0.73, 1.10 | | 0.60 | 0.47, 0.77 | |
| Higher | 29.0% (197/680) | 0.45 | 0.35, 0.57 | | 0.33 | 0.25, 0.44 | |
| Financially, would you say that | | | | 0.066 | | | 0.045 |
| You are comfortable | 42.5% (191/449) | — | — | | — | — | |
| Your income is enough | 37.8% (296/783) | 0.82 | 0.65, 1.04 | | 0.73 | 0.56, 0.95 | |
| You are poor/very poor | 42.7% (591/1 383) | 1.01 | 0.81, 1.25 | | 0.88 | 0.69, 1.12 | |
| Compared to people of your age would you say your health is | | | | 0.004 | | | 0.086 |
| Very satisfactory | 43.6% (676/1 549) | — | — | | — | — | |
| Quite satisfactory | 37.3% (180/482) | 0.77 | 0.62, 0.95 | | 0.98 | 0.78, 1.24 | |
| Unsatisfactory | 36.0% (171/475) | 0.73 | 0.59, 0.90 | | 0.97 | 0.76, 1.23 | |
| Not at all satisfactory | 46.8% (51/109) | 1.14 | 0.77, 1.68 | | 1.71 | 1.12, 2.62 | |
| How much do you think that you are exposed to the risk of acquiring HIV? | | | | < 0.001 | | | 0.066 |
| Highly exposed | 45.1% (217/481) | — | — | | — | — | |
| Somewhat exposed | 33.4% (275/824) | 0.61 | 0.48, 0.77 | | 0.77 | 0.60, 1.00 | |
| Not at all exposed | 44.7% (586/1 310) | 0.98 | 0.80, 1.22 | | 0.96 | 0.76, 1.22 | |
| Number of sexual partners in the last 12 months | | | | < 0.001 | | | |
| 0 partner | 62.4% (88/141) | — | — | | — | — | |
| 1 to 2 partners | 40.5% (444/1 095) | 0.41 | 0.28, 0.59 | | | | |
| 3 to 6 partners | 36.3% (243/670) | 0.34 | 0.23, 0.50 | | | | |
| 7 partners or more | 36.7% (132/360) | 0.35 | 0.23, 0.52 | | | | |
| DK-R | 49.0% (171/349) | 0.58 | 0.39, 0.86 | | | | |
| Used condom in the last 12 months | | | | < 0.001 | | | < 0.001 |
| Always | 36.4% (294/807) | — | — | | — | — | |
| Occasionally | 34.6% (335/969) | 0.92 | 0.76, 1.12 | | 1.13 | 0.91, 1.41 | |
| Never | 50.7% (321/633) | 1.80 | 1.45, 2.22 | | 2.02 | 1.59, 2.56 | |
| Did not have sex | 62.4% (88/141) | 2.90 | 2.01, 4.21 | | 2.88 | 1.91, 4.38 | |
| Refusal | 61.5% (40/65) | 2.79 | 1.67, 4.75 | | 2.58 | 1.45, 4.65 | |
| How did you get the HIVST kit? Who gave you the HIVST kit? | | | | < 0.001 | | | 0.006 |
| Primary distribution | 39.1% (709/1 815) | — | — | | — | — | |
| Secondary distribution | 46.1% (369/800) | 1.34 | 1.13, 1.58 | | 1.32 | 1.08, 1.60 | |

OR Odd Ratio unadjusted, ORa Odd Ratio adjusted

distribution rather than primary distribution suggests that secondary distribution of kits might better reach populations underserved by testing services.

Our results showed that this secondary distribution was feasible: almost one-third of the participants reported having received their HIVST through a friend, a sexual partner, a relative, or a colleague. Other experiences of secondary distribution have been reported in Southern and Eastern Africa: it was acceptable and allowed to reach clients of FSW [41, 46], partners of MSM [47], or partners of pregnant women [42, 48, 49]. Within ATLAS, a qualitative survey conducted showed that FSW were willing to redistribute the HIVST to their regular partners and clients [50].

According to the initial strategy of the ATLAS project (Fig. 1), clients and partners of FSW were supposed to be reached only through secondary distribution. Among men recruited in FSW-based channels, 57% reported having received their HIVST from a peer educator. Focus group interviews with dispensing agents conducted as part of the programme's monitoring and evaluation showed the development of new strategies. For example, some peer educators reported leaving HIVST kits with brothel managers or pimps and letting them redistribute HIVST directly to clients. Some others reported giving HIVST kits directly to clients when visiting sex work sites [23].

The ability of HIVST to reach people beyond traditional key populations is also observed indirectly by looking, per distribution channel, at the sex of those recruited. The fact that 48% of participants from the FSW-based channels were men suggests that some are regular FSW partners or clients. Similarly, the fact that 9% of the participants in the MSM-based channels were women could mean that some of them are probably female partners of MSM. Qualitative data showed that it was feasible for MSM to redistribute HIVST kits to their female partners and older male partners [51]. Only half of the men in the MSM-based channels reported ever having sex with a man. Considering that some MSM who do not self-identify as MSM may be likely not to report their sexual practices, it may be possible that some so-called "hidden" MSM were reached.

With a participation rate of around 5%, the survey population may differ from the overall population of HIVST end-users due to self-selection biases. The ability to read and understand the survey leaflet and the survey's financial incentive could have influenced participation. The participation rates varied between delivery channels and countries and were generally higher in MSM-based channels. Senegal was an exception, with a participation of only 2% in MSM-based channels. At the time of the survey, Senegal was facing a new wave of homophobia in a country where, since the 2000s, there has been a rise in

political Islam, which strongly influences social representations of homosexuality [52].

Introducing a financial incentive may have led to people trying to participate more than once (by carrying several leaflets) or pretending to have used HIVST, leading to double counts and misreports that cannot be detected or quantified. To some extent, the measures put in place (such as the unique participation number) nevertheless make it possible to minimise these risks. As with any survey that asks participants to self-report behaviours on sensitive topics (such as sexual practices), reporting biases were possible. We cannot exclude the possibility that some implementing partners may have mixed up leaflets and that some of the leaflets intended to be distributed in one channel were ultimately distributed in another.

Finally, regarding the generalisation of our findings, the survey was conducted in the context of a free distribution program focussing mainly on key populations and their partners. Some countries are considering selling HIVST at relatively low prices in private pharmacies. Users reached that way will likely differ from those reached by a strategy similar to ATLAS.

Despite logistical challenges, it was possible to survey both primary and secondary HIVST users using an innovative phone-based approach relying on voluntary participation. Such a survey is valuable in introducing and scaling up HIVST but could be too costly to be routinely implemented as a monitoring and evaluation tool, where more straightforward approaches are more relevant [19].

Conclusion

The ATLAS strategy, through secondary distribution of HIVST and targeted channels, has been successful in reaching people who have never been tested before (first-time testers) in West Africa. These individuals were more often males, young and less educated. Our findings underscore the importance of secondary distribution as an innovative and complementary strategy to existing testing services to expand HIV testing coverage. HIV self-testing is a valuable additional tool for reaching people who are typically distant from community activities and HIV testing services, and it has the potential to reach not only key populations, but also partners, clients, and other groups vulnerable to HIV. Therefore, it is crucial to create conditions that allow for its implementation, enabling HIV self-testing programs to reach their full potential.

Abbreviations

| | |
|-------|------------------------------|
| aOR | Adjusted odds ratio |
| CI | Confidence interval |
| FSW | Female Sex Worker |
| HIV | Human Immunodeficiency Virus |
| HIVST | HIV Self-Testing |
| MSM | Men who have Sex with Men |

PWUD People Who Use Drugs
 UNAIDS The Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS
 WHO World Health Organization

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-023-08626-w>.

Additional file 1. Dataset.

Additional file 2. R script.

Additional file 3. PDF report of the analysis results generated using R.

Additional file 4. HTML report of the analysis results generated using R.

Additional file 5. Origin of phone calls and final status.

Additional file 6. Sex of sexual partners and how HIVST was obtained, per distribution channel and sex.

Additional file 7. Average marginal predictions from the reduced logistic model of the probability of being a first-time tester.

Additional file 8. Proportion of first-time testers (percentage [95% confidence interval, n]) per age group, primary or secondary distribution, country, distribution channel and sex.

Additional file 9. STROBE checklist cross-sectional.

Additional file 10. 2021-10-14 ATLAS Team.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank all participants who participated in this study.

About this supplement

This article has been published as part of BMC Infectious Diseases Volume 22 Supplement 1 2021: Innovating with HIV self-testing for impact in southern Africa: Lessons learned from the STAR (Self-Testing Africa) Initiative. The full contents of the supplement are available at <https://bmcinfectdis.biomedcentral.com/articles/supplements/volume-22-supplement-1>.

Authors' contributions

JL, NR, MMG and MCB developed the study protocol and the study design. Data collection was supervised by AKK, with support from KNN, OG, SY, OKK, PAG, PDN, and AV. AKK and JL conceived and design the analysis. AKK did the statistical analysis under the supervision of JL. AKK wrote the first draft of manuscript. All authors (AKK, JL, NR, MMG, MCB, OG, KNN, SY, OKK, PAG, PDN, AV, ASF, RS, MD) had full access to the data, participated in data interpretation, and provided critical feedback on the manuscript. AKK and JL had the final authority of the manuscript submission and all authors accepted responsibility for submission for publication. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by Unitaid (Grant Number: 2018-23 ATLAS) with additional funding from Agence Française pour le Développement (AFD). AKK benefits from an ANRS thesis allowance. MMG's research program is supported by a Canada Research Chair (Tier 2) in Population Health Modeling. Funding bodies had no role in the conceptualization, design, data collection, analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

A dedicated anonymised dataset, along with the corresponding R script to allow replication of the analysis and comprehensive results reports in both PDF and HTML formats, are provided as supplementary materials (Additional files 1 and 4).

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Financial incentives have been introduced in version 3.0 of the protocol (October 8, 2020), and this addition has been approved by the WHO Ethical

Research Committee (January 12, 2021, reference: ERC 0003181), the National Ethics Committee for Life Sciences and Health of Côte d'Ivoire (November 27, 2020, reference: 191-20/MSHP/CNESVS-km, IRB:000111917), the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy of the University of Bamako, Mali (November 16, 2020, reference: 2020/254/CE/FMPOS/FAPH), and the National Ethics Committee for Health Research of Senegal (January 26, 2021, protocol SEN19/32, n°8 MSAS/CNERS/Sec). All participants provided written informed consent.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare have no conflicts of interest.

Author details

¹Centre Population et Développement (Ceped), Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), Université Paris Cité, Inserm, Paris, France. ²Institut National d'Études Démographiques (INED), Aubervilliers, France. ³Solidarité Thérapeutique et Initiatives pour la Santé (Solthis), Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire. ⁴Solidarité Thérapeutique et Initiatives pour la Santé (Solthis), Bamako, Mali. ⁵Solidarité Thérapeutique et Initiatives pour la Santé (Solthis), Dakar, Sénégal. ⁶MRC Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, UK. ⁷Department of Global Health and Development, Faculty of Public Health and Policy, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK. ⁸National Institute for Health and Medical Research UMR 1219, Research Institute for Sustainable Development EMR 271, Bordeaux Population Health Centre, University of Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France. ⁹Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Population and Global Health, McGill University, Montréal, QC H3A 1A2, Canada.

Received: 28 April 2022 Accepted: 18 September 2023

Published online: 25 September 2023

References

- Das M, Chu PL, Santos G-M, Scheer S, Vittinghoff E, McFarland W, et al. Decreases in community viral load are accompanied by reductions in new HIV infections in San Francisco. *PLoS One*. 2010;5:e11068. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011068>.
- Lima VD, Johnston K, Hogg RS, Levy AR, Harrigan PR, Anema A, et al. Expanded access to highly active antiretroviral therapy: a potentially powerful strategy to curb the growth of the HIV epidemic. *J Infect Dis*. 2008;198:59–67. <https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jin106>.
- Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, Gamble T, Hosseinipour MC, Kumarasamy N, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 infection with early antiretroviral therapy. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;365:493–505. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1105243>.
- Quinn TC, Wawer MJ, Sewankambo N, Serwadda D, Li C, Wabwire-Mangen F, et al. Viral load and heterosexual transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. *N Engl J Med*. 2000;342:921–9. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM200003303421303>.
- UNAIDS. REFERENCE UNAIDS DATA 2021 _ UNAIDS/JC3032E. 2021.
- MSHP, PNLS. Plan stratégique national: de lutte contre le sida et les maladies sexuellement transmissibles. 2016.
- CNLS. Plan national stratégie de lutte contre le sida. 2015.
- Stahlman S, Beyrer C, Sullivan PS, Mayer KH, Baral SD. Engagement of gay men and other men who have sex with men (MSM) in the response to HIV: a critical step in achieving an AIDS-free generation. *AIDS Behav*. 2016;20:330–40. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-016-1388-8>.
- Broqua C. Les formes sociales de l'homosexualité masculine à Bamako dans une perspective comparée: entre tactiques et mobilisations collectives. *Polit Sociétés*. 2012;31:113–44. <https://doi.org/10.7202/1014354ar>.
- World Health Organization. Guidelines on HIV self-testing and partner notification: supplement to Consolidated guidelines on HIV testing services. 2016.
- Hector J, Davies M-A, Dekker-Boersema J, Aly MM, Abdalad CCA, Langa EBR, et al. Acceptability and performance of a directly assisted oral HIV

- self-testing intervention in adolescents in rural Mozambique. *PLoS One*. 2018;13:e0195391. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195391>.
12. Aasiimwe S, Oloya J, Song X, Whalen CC. Accuracy of un-supervised versus provider-supervised self-administered HIV testing in Uganda: a randomized implementation trial. *AIDS Behav*. 2014;18:2477–84. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-014-0765-4>.
 13. Figueroa C, Johnson C, Ford N, Sands A, Dalal S, Meurant R, et al. Reliability of HIV rapid diagnostic tests for self-testing compared with testing by health-care workers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet HIV*. 2018;5:e277–90. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-3018\(18\)30044-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-3018(18)30044-4).
 14. Chanda MM, Ortblad KF, Mwale M, Chongo S, Kanchele C, Kamungoma N, et al. HIV self-testing among female sex workers in Zambia: a cluster randomized controlled trial. *PLoS Med*. 2017;14:e1002442. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002442>.
 15. Tonen-Wolyec S, Filali M, Mboup S, Bélec L. HIV self-testing in Africa: stakes and challenges. *Méd Santé Trop*. 2018;28:144–9. <https://doi.org/10.1684/mst.2018.0777>.
 16. Pant Pai N, Behlim T, Abrahams L, Vadnais C, Shivkumar S, Pillay S, et al. Will an unsupervised self-testing strategy for HIV work in health care workers of South Africa? A cross sectional pilot feasibility study. *PLoS One*. 2013;8:e79772. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0079772>.
 17. Brown AN, Djimeu EW, Cameron DB. A review of the evidence of harm from self-tests. *AIDS Behav*. 2014;18:445–9. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-014-0831-y>.
 18. World Health Organization. Consolidated guidelines on HIV prevention, testing, treatment, service delivery and monitoring: recommendations for a public health approach. 2021 update. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2021.
 19. Simo Fotso A, Johnson C, Vautier A, Kouamé KB, Diop PM, Silhol R, et al. Routine programmatic data show a positive population-level impact of HIV self-testing: the case of Côte d'Ivoire and implications for implementation. *AIDS*. 2022;36:1871–9. <https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.00000000000003328>.
 20. Rouveau N, Ky-Zerbo O, Boye S, Fotso AS, d'Elbée M, Maheu-Giroux M, et al. Describing, analysing and understanding the effects of the introduction of HIV self-testing in West Africa through the ATLAS programme in Côte d'Ivoire, Mali and Senegal. *BMC Public Health*. 2021;21:181. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-10212-1>.
 21. Indravudh PP, Choko AT, Corbett EL. Scaling up HIV self-testing in sub-Saharan Africa: a review of technology, policy and evidence. *Curr Opin Infect Dis*. 2018;31:14–24. <https://doi.org/10.1097/QCO.00000000000000426>.
 22. Simo Fotso A, Kra AK, Maheu-Giroux M, Boye S, d'Elbée M, Ky-zerbo O, et al. Is it possible to recruit HIV self-test users for an anonymous phone-based survey using passive recruitment without financial incentives? Lessons learned from a pilot study in Côte d'Ivoire. *Pilot Feasibility Stud*. 2022;8:4. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40814-021-00965-2>.
 23. Kra AK, Colin G, Diop PM, Fotso AS, Rouveau N, Hervé KK, et al. Introducing and implementing HIV self-testing in Côte d'Ivoire, Mali, and Senegal: what can we learn from ATLAS project activity reports in the context of the COVID-19 crisis? *Front Public Health*. 2021;9:653565. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.653565>.
 24. R Core Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2023.
 25. Sjoberg DD, Whiting K, Curry M, Lavery JA, Larmarange J. The R journal: reproducible summary tables with the gtsummary package. *R J*. 2021;13:570–80. <https://doi.org/10.32614/RJ-2021-053>.
 26. Becquet V, Nouaman M, Plazy M, Masumbuko J-M, Anoma C, Kouame S, et al. Sexual health needs of female sex workers in Côte d'Ivoire: a mixed-methods study to prepare the future implementation of pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) for HIV prevention. *BMJ Open*. 2020;10:e028508. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-028508>.
 27. PNLS, MSHP, ENDA SANTE, FONDS MONDIAL. Enquête bio-comportementale et cartographique en direction des travailleuses de sexe dans cinq localités de la Côte d'Ivoire: Katiola, Yamoussoukro, Soubré, Aboisso, Agboville. 2020.
 28. Lyons CE, Coly K, Bowring AL, Liestman B, Diouf D, Wong VJ, et al. Use and acceptability of HIV self-testing among first-time testers at risk for HIV in Senegal. *AIDS Behav*. 2019;23:130–41. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-019-02552-2>.
 29. Inghels M, Kouassi AK, Niangoran S, Bekelync A, Carillon S, Sika L, et al. Telephone peer recruitment and interviewing during a respondent-driven sampling (RDS) survey: feasibility and field experience from the first phone-based RDS survey among men who have sex with men in Côte d'Ivoire. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2021;21:25. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-021-01208-x>.
 30. MSHP, ENDA SANTE, JHU. Etude biologique et comportementale des IST, du VIH et du sida chez les Hommes ayant des rapports Sexuels avec des Hommes des villes d'Abidjan, Agboville, Bouaké, Gagnoa, et Yamoussoukro. 2016.
 31. MSHP, PNLS, FONDS MONDIAL, Africa Synergy Group Plus. Enquête bio-comportementale chez les Hommes ayant des rapports Sexuels avec d'autres Hommes (HSH) dans les villes de Divo, Daloa, Abengourou, Bouafilé et Korhogo. 2020.
 32. Hakim A, Patnaik P, Telly N, Ballo T, Traore B, Doumbia S, et al. High prevalence of concurrent male-male partnerships in the context of low human immunodeficiency virus testing among men who have sex with men in Bamako. *Mali Sex Transm Dis*. 2017;44:565–70. <https://doi.org/10.1097/OLQ.0000000000000655>.
 33. Larmarange J, Desgrées du Loû A, Enel C, Wade A. Homosexuality and bisexuality in Senegal: a multifactorial reality. *Popul Engl Ed*. 2009;64:635. <https://doi.org/10.3917/pope.904.0635>.
 34. Ky-Zerbo O, Desclaux A, Kouadio AB, Rouveau N, Vautier A, Sow S, et al. Enthusiasm for introducing and integrating HIV self-testing but doubts about users: a baseline qualitative analysis of key stakeholders' attitudes and perceptions in Côte d'Ivoire, Mali and Senegal. *Front Public Health*. 2021;9:653481. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.653481>.
 35. Negin J, Wariero J, Mutuo P, Jan S, Pronyk P. Feasibility, acceptability and cost of home-based HIV testing in rural Kenya. *Trop Med Int Health*. 2009;14:849–55. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3156.2009.02304.x>.
 36. Spielberg F, Levine RO, Weaver M. Self-testing for HIV: a new option for HIV prevention? *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2004;4:640–6. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(04\)01150-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(04)01150-8).
 37. Krause J, Subklew-Sehume F, Kenyon C, Colebunders R. Acceptability of HIV self-testing: a systematic literature review. *BMC Public Health*. 2013;13:735. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-13-735>.
 38. Knight L, Makusha T, Lim J, Peck R, Taegtmeier M, van Rooyen H. "I think it is right": a qualitative exploration of the acceptability and desired future use of oral swab and finger-prick HIV self-tests by lay users in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *BMC Res Notes*. 2017;10:486. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-017-2810-7>.
 39. Kalibala S, Tun W, Cherutich P, Nganga A, Oweya E, Oluoch P. Factors associated with acceptability of HIV self-testing among health care workers in Kenya. *AIDS Behav*. 2014;18:405–14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-014-0830-z>.
 40. Kurth AE, Cleland CM, Chhun N, Sidle JE, Were E, Naanyu V, et al. Accuracy and acceptability of oral fluid HIV self-testing in a general adult population in Kenya. *AIDS Behav*. 2016;20:870–9. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-015-1213-9>.
 41. Maman S, Murray KR, NapieralaMavedzenge S, Oluoch L, Sijenje F, Agot K, et al. A qualitative study of secondary distribution of HIV self-test kits by female sex workers in Kenya. *PLoS One*. 2017;12:e0174629. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0174629>.
 42. Masters SH, Agot K, Obonyo B, NapieralaMavedzenge S, Maman S, Thirumurthy H. Promoting partner testing and couples testing through secondary distribution of HIV self-tests: a randomized clinical trial. *PLoS Med*. 2016;13:e1002166. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002166>.
 43. Indravudh PP, Hensen B, Nzawa R, Chilongosi R, Nyirenda R, Johnson CC, et al. Who is reached by HIV self-testing? Individual factors associated with self-testing within a community-based program in rural Malawi. *J AIDS J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2020;85:165–73. <https://doi.org/10.1097/QAI.0000000000002412>.
 44. Choko AT, MacPherson P, Webb EL, Willey BA, Feasy H, Sambakunsi R, et al. Uptake, accuracy, safety, and linkage into care over two years of promoting annual self-testing for HIV in Blantyre, Malawi: a community-based prospective study. *PLoS Med*. 2015;12:e1001873. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001873>.
 45. Indravudh PP, Sibanda EL, d'Elbée M, Kumwenda MK, Ringwald B, Maringwa G, et al. "I will choose when to test, where I want to test": investigating young people's preferences for HIV self-testing in Malawi and

- Zimbabwe. *AIDS*. 2017;31:S203–12. <https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0000000000001516>.
46. Thirumurthy H, Masters SH, Mavedzenge SN, Maman S, Omanga E, Agot K. Promoting male partner HIV testing and safer sexual decision making through secondary distribution of self-tests by HIV-negative female sex workers and women receiving antenatal and post-partum care in Kenya: a cohort study. *Lancet HIV*. 2016;3:e266–74. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-3018\(16\)00041-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-3018(16)00041-2).
 47. Lippman SA, Lane T, Rabede O, Gilmore H, Chen Y-H, Mlotshwa N, et al. High acceptability and increased HIV-testing frequency after introduction of HIV self-testing and network distribution among South African MSM. *J AIDS J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2018;77:279–87. <https://doi.org/10.1097/QAI.0000000000001601>.
 48. Johnson CC, Corbett EL. HIV self-testing to scale up couples and partner testing. *Lancet HIV*. 2016;3:e243–4. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-3018\(16\)00044-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-3018(16)00044-8).
 49. Choko AT, Corbett EL, Stallard N, Maheswaran H, Lepine A, Johnson CC, et al. HIV self-testing alone or with additional interventions, including financial incentives, and linkage to care or prevention among male partners of antenatal care clinic attendees in Malawi: An adaptive multi-arm, multi-stage cluster randomised trial. *PLoS Med*. 2019;16:e1002719. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002719>.
 50. Ky-Zerbo O, Desclaux A, Boye S, Vautier A, Rouveau N, Kouadio BA, et al. Willingness to use and distribute HIV self-test kits to clients and partners: a qualitative analysis of female sex workers' collective opinion and attitude in Côte d'Ivoire, Mali, and Senegal. *Womens Health*. 2022;18:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17455057221092268>.
 51. Ky-Zerbo O, Desclaux A, Boye S, Maheu-Giroux M, Rouveau N, Vautier A, et al. "I take it and give it to my partners who will give it to their partners": secondary distribution of HIV self-tests by key populations in Côte d'Ivoire, Mali, and Senegal. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2023;22:970. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-023-08319-4>.
 52. Broqua C. Homosexuality in francophone West Africa: the international context of local controversies. In: *Oxf. Res. Encycl. Polit*. Oxford University Press; 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228637.013.1316>.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

