

RESEARCH

Open Access



Clinical characteristics and outcome of Covid-19 illness and predictors of in-hospital mortality in Saudi Arabia

Mostafa A. Abolfotouh^{1,2,3*}, Abrar Musattat¹, Maha Alanazi¹, Suliman Alghnam^{1,2} and Mohammad Bosaeed^{1,2,3}

Abstract

Background: Patients' race and ethnicity may play a role in mortality from Covid-19. Studies in China, the US, and Europe have been conducted on the predictors of Covid-19 mortality, yet in the EMR countries, such studies are scarce. Therefore, we aimed to describe the hospitalization rate, ICU-admission, and in-hospital mortality of Covid-19 and predictors of in-hospital mortality in Saudi Arabia.

Methods: E-medical records were examined for all Covid-19 patients diagnosed in five tertiary hospitals affiliated with the Saudi-National Guard-Health Affairs during March 21, 2020, and September 12, 2021, based on a positive SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR test, (n = 35,284). Data were collected on patients' characteristics, comorbidities, laboratory findings, hospitalization, ICU admission, and in-hospital and overall mortality. Logistic regressions were used to identify the independent predictors of in-hospital mortality. The best laboratory parameters cut-off values to predict in-hospital mortality were identified using the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC). Significance was considered at $p < 0.05$.

Results: Of all 35,284 Covid-19 patients, 81.8% were adults and 21.7% were hospitalized. Compared to non-hospitalized patients, hospitalized patients were more of female gender (52.1% versus 47.3%, $p < 0.001$) and had higher mean age ($p < 0.001$), higher mean BMI ($p < 0.001$), and higher rates of: diabetes ($p < 0.001$), hypertension ($p < 0.001$), ischemic heart disease ($p < 0.001$), cancer ($p < 0.001$), COPD ($p < 0.001$) and asthma ($p = 0.011$). The study showed 3.1% overall case-fatality, 20.3% ICU admission rate, and 9.7% in-hospital mortality. Predictors of in-hospital mortality among adult patients were; patients' age ≥ 70 years (OR = 6.93, 95% CI 1.94–24.79), ischemic heart disease (OR = 1.80, 95% CI 1.05–3.09), ICU admission (OR = 24.38, 95% CI 15.64–38.01), abnormal C-reactive protein "CRP" (OR = 1.85, 95% CI 1.08–3.16), abnormal D-dimer (OR = 1.96, 95% CI 1.15–3.36), lymphopenia (OR = 2.76, 95% CI 2.03–3.376), high neutrophil count (OR = 2.10, 95% CI 1.54–2.87), and abnormal procalcitonin (OR = 3.33, 95% CI 1.88–5.90). The best laboratory parameters cut-off values to predict in-hospital mortality were CRP > 72.25 mg/L (AUC = 0.64), D-dimer > 1125

*Correspondence: mabolfotouh@gmail.com

¹ King Abdullah International Medical Research Center, Mail Code 3533, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

$\mu\text{g/L}$ (AUC = 0.75), neutrophils count $> 5,745 \times 10^9/\text{L}$ (AUC = 0.70), lymphocytic count $< 1.10 \times 10^9/\text{L}$ (AUC = 0.72), and procalcitonin $> 0.18 \text{ ng/mL}$ (AUC = 0.76).

Conclusions: Rates of hospitalization, ICU-admission, in-hospital mortality and overall case fatality were nearly comparable to the rates in western countries. Early interventions are necessary for high-risk Covid-19 patients, especially elderly patients and those with cardiac diseases.

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, Disease severity, ICU-admission, Hospitalization, Key parameters, Laboratory findings, Comorbidities, Case fatality, Risk factors, AUC

Background

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a respiratory transmissible disease caused by the virus of SARS-CoV-2. The spread of the virus began in late 2019, and as of January 2, 2022, over two hundred and eighty million people are infected, relating to more than five million deaths worldwide [1]. Many researchers have studied factors about the mortality of patients diagnosed with COVID-19. One of the most frequent predictors was age; multiple studies concluded that increased age was associated with higher mortality [2–4]. A meta-analysis of 49 articles and over 20,000 patients concluded that age 50 and above had a strong association with these patients' mortality [5]. Moreover, comorbidities predicted higher mortality in moderate to severe COVID-19 patients [6]; included hypertension, diabetes mellitus, ischemic heart disease, cancer, chronic kidney disease, and liver injuries.

Specific laboratory parameters were associated with an increased risk of mortality. For instance, elevated C-reactive protein (CRP) and D-dimer levels were associated with increased mortality odds [6–9]. According to Leonidas Palaiodimos et al. [10], severe obesity with a high body mass index of more than 35 kg/m^2 was associated with higher in-hospital mortality among COVID-19 patients.

Patients' race and ethnicity may play a role in mortality from Covid-19. Mortality rates among Black Americans were 92.3 deaths/100,000 population and 74.3 deaths/100,000 among Hispanic Americans, higher than those of white Americans (45.2 deaths/100,000) or Asians (34.5 deaths/100,000) [11]. Economic and social conditions also may play a role [12, 13]. Living in heavily crowded areas may be a barrier to prevention measures like social distancing. In addition, economic status in different countries may influence the outcomes due to difference in resources needed for management [14–16]. Although a fair number of articles related to Covid-19 mortality predictors in Saudi Arabia were published [7, 9, 13, 17, 18], none of these studies was found with a large sample size that guarantees its validity. This study aimed to describe, in a large cohort of Saudi patients, the characteristics and outcomes of Covid-19 illness in children

and adults, and to determine the predictors of in-hospital mortality in adult patients.

Methods

Study Design and study subjects

This is a retrospective cohort study of all patients diagnosed and treated in five tertiary hospitals affiliated with the Saudi Ministry of National Guard-health affairs (MNG-HA), from the start of the virus spread in Saudi Arabia on March 21, 2020, until September 12, 2021 ($n = 35,284$). All individuals with suspected Covid-19 symptoms, and those with history of a recent exposure to a Covid-19 case, in those five hospitals, were screened for Covid-19. Diagnosis was made based on a positive SARS-CoV-2 Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) from nasopharyngeal swabs.

Study setting

MNG-HA provides healthcare services to national guard service members and their dependents through large medical cities located in the three most densely populated regions of Saudi Arabia, namely the Central (one hospital), Western (two hospitals), and Eastern (two hospitals) regions. All facilities have been Joint Commission International (JCI)-accredited since 2006. During the COVID-19, and following the first reported case in Saudi Arabia, MNG-HA has taken drastic infection control measures that included the reduction of elective surgeries, stopping in person outpatient services, and introducing ER workflow to minimize Covid19 cases flow through the main ER [19]. Standard treatment of patients infected with COVID-19 includes symptoms treatment, supportive measures such as; supplemental oxygen, and approved drugs to treat the infection per the MOH and MNGHA treatment guidelines [20]. Recommendations for adult patients admitted to the ICU include antiviral therapy, Immunomodulation therapy, and corticosteroids. Dexamethasone over other corticosteroids is also suggested [21].

Data collection

The collected variables included routine demographic variables such as age and sex, and location, Comorbidities

included Diabetes, Hypertension, Cancer, Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Asthma, and Ischemic heart disease, biometric measurements, i.e., Body-Mass-Index, Laboratory data including C-reactive protein (CRP), D-dimer, Ferritin, Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDH), Lymphocytes, Neutrophils, and Procalcitonin, and outcome variables including hospitalization, intensive care unit (ICU) admission, mortality status, in-hospital mortality, and time from diagnosis to death.

Data was extracted from the health system's electronic Datawarehouse, which host all medical information for patient care. Based on the study inclusion criteria, the data was extracted using a search engine within the Electronic Health Record (EHR) and collated in a structured format including ICD codes, lab values and health utilization (i.e., ICU admission). All the data obtained are documented by the healthcare professionals who provided those services to patients. All hospitals, within the MNG-HA, provide uniform healthcare services across the organization. Therefore, data collected are consistent across hospitals. Additional validation for mortality status was done by ensuring other information are documented, such as date and time of death, and the presence of a death notice.

Ethical issues

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the Ministry of National Guard- Health Affairs (MNG-HA) by study number NRC21R/445/10. The IRB of the MNG-HA waived the requirement for informed consent because of the retrospective nature of the study. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

Data analysis

Data were analyzed using the (SPSS version 26.0; IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). We used descriptive statistics such as mean (SD), median (IQR), and range. Frequencies (%) and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated. Student *t* test was used to compare numerical data, while the chi-square test and Fisher exact test were applied for categorical data. Odds ratios (ORs) and their corresponding 95% CIs were calculated, and logistic regression analysis was applied to adjust for confounders of the association between different variables and the in-hospital case fatality of Covid-19. Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Shapiro–Wilk tests were applied to test for normality of age and BMI variables before deciding which statistical test to use. Receiver Operator Characteristic curve (ROC) was applied to allocate the optimum cut-off values for age and different laboratory parameters in the prediction of in-hospital mortality from Covid-19. For every parameter optimum

cut-off, accuracy was determined, in terms of sensitivity and specificity, with the corresponding 95% confidence intervals, and the area under the curve (AUC). Significance was considered at $p \leq 0.05$.

The primary outcomes were the characteristics and outcome of Covid-19 illness. The secondary outcome was predictors of in-hospital mortality to help for proper and timely treatment of patients with Covid-19 illness.

Results

Hospitalization & In-hospital mortality rate among Covid-19 patients

Of all 35,284 Covid-19 patients, 28,876 patients (81.8%) were adults 18 years or more and 6408 patients (18.2%) were children less than 18 years. A total of 7484 patients (21.7%) were hospitalized [24.3% of adult patients and 9.7% of children with Covid-19, $\chi^2 = 664.15$, $p < 0.001$]. Of all hospitalized patients, 1552 patients (20.3%) were admitted to ICU [21.1% of hospitalized adult patients and 11.5% of hospitalized children, $\chi^2 = 32.61$, $p < 0.001$]. The overall case fatality rate was 3.1% [3.7% of adults and 0.3% of children, $\chi^2 = 206.97$, $p < 0.001$]. In-hospital mortality rate was 9.7% of all hospitalized patients [10.5% of hospitalized adults and 1.1% of hospitalized children, $\chi^2 = 57.00$, $p < 0.001$], Table 1.

Personal characteristics and comorbidities among adult Covid-19 patients

Of all hospitalized patients, 51.5% were males and 48.5% were females. Most patients (43.0%) were 30 to <50 years of age, and 30.9% of patients were ≥ 50 years of age, with a mean age of 42.4 ± 16.7 years. The majority of patients had a BMI of ≥ 30 kg/m². The most common comorbidities were diabetes (14.4%) and hypertension (11.8%). Hospitalized patients were significantly older (54.4 ± 4 years versus 38.5 ± 14.1 years, $p < 0.001$) and with higher BMI ($p < 0.001$). Concerning comorbidities, hospitalized patients showed a significantly higher rate of diabetes ($p < 0.001$), hypertension ($p < 0.001$), ischemic heart disease ($p < 0.001$), cancer ($p < 0.001$), COPD ($p < 0.001$), and Asthma ($p = 0.011$). There was a significant sex difference between hospitalized and non-hospitalized patients, with higher proportion of females among hospitalized patients ($p < 0.001$), Table 2.

Predictors of in-hospital mortality

In bivariate analysis, the rate of in-hospital mortality was significantly higher among male patients ($p < 0.001$), patients ages 30 to <50 years ($p = 0.006$), 50 to <70 years ($p < 0.001$) and ≥ 70 years of age ($p < 0.001$). Obese patients of ≥ 30 kg/m² showed significantly lower in-hospital mortality ($p = 0.001$). However, after adjusting for confounders, old age of 70 or more was the only

Table 1 Distribution of all Covid-19 patients (n=35,284) diagnosed in the Ministry of National Guard Hospitals, Saudi Arabia [March 21, 2020 until September 12, 2021] according to age, hospitalization, ICU admission and in-hospital mortality

Characteristics	Total No (%)	Child (< 18 yrs) No (%)	Adult (≥ 18 yrs) No(%)	p-value [®]
	35,284 (100.0)	6408 (18.2)	28,876 (81.8)	
Male gender	18,226 (51.7)	3352 (52.3)	14,874 (51.5)	0.25
Hospitalization	7484 (21.7)	620 (9.7)	7028 (24.3)	<0.001
ICU admission [#]	1552 (20.3)	71 (11.5)	1481 (21.1)	<0.001
LOS in days [Median (IQR)]		5(2–14)	9 (4–18)	
In-hospital mortality [#]	746 (9.7)	7 (1.1)	739 (10.5)	<0.001
LOS in days [Median (IQR)]		16.0 (4–37)	16 (7–27)	
Overall case fatality	1093 (3.1)	18 (0.3)	1075 (3.7)	<0.001

[®] Pearson Chi square test was applied; [#]Percentage was estimated out of the total number of hospitalizations. LOS: length of stay; IQR: interquartile range

Table 2 Distribution of hospitalized and non-hospitalized adult Covid-19 patients according to personal characteristics and comorbidity

	Total No (%)	Unhospitalized No (%)	Hospitalized No (%)	P-value
ALL	28,876 (100.0)	21,848 (75.7)	7028 (24.3)	
Male gender	14,874 (51.5)	11,508 (52.7)	3366 (47.9)	<0.001 [®]
Age group (years)				
< 30	7529 (26.1)	6724 (30.8)	805 (11.5)	
30–	12,424 (43.0)	10,497 (48.0)	1927 (27.4)	
50–	6597 (22.8)	3915 (17.9)	2682 (38.2)	
70 or more	2326 (8.1)	712 (3.3)	1614 (23.0)	<0.001 [®]
Mean (SD)	42.4 ± 16.7	38.5 ± 14.1	54.4 ± 18.4	<0.001 [#]
BMI (kg/m ²)				
< 25	3244 (22.3)	2300 (23.5)	944(19.8)	
25–	4562 (31.3)	3169(32.4)	1393 (29.2)	
30 or more	6762 (46.4)	4323(44.1)	2439 (51.1)	<0.001 [®]
Mean (SD)	29.9 ± 6.3	29.6 ± 6.2	30.6 ± 6.5	<0.001 [#]
Comorbidities				
Diabetes	4161 (14.4)	2191 (10.0)	1970 (28.0)	<0.001 [®]
Hypertension	3412 (11.8)	1769 (8.1)	1643 (23.4)	<0.001 [®]
Asthma	701 (2.4)	502 (2.3)	199 (2.8)	0.011 [®]
COPD	63 (0.2)	8 (0.0)	55 (0.8)	<0.001 [®]
Ischemic heart disease	637 (2.2)	242 (1.1)	395 (5.6)	<0.001 [®]
Cancer	739 (2.6)	298 (1.4)	441 (6.3)	<0.001 [®]

[®] Pearson chi square test was applied; [#]student t-test was applied

significant personal factor associated with in-hospital mortality among hospitalized Covid-19 patients (OR = 6.93, 95% CI 1.94–24.79, $p = 0.003$), Table 3.

In-hospital mortality rate was significantly higher among patients with diabetes ($p = 0.001$), hypertension ($p < 0.001$), IHD ($p < 0.001$), cancer ($p = 0.018$), and COPD ($p = 0.006$). After adjusting for possible confounders, of all comorbidities studied, IHD was only comorbidity significantly associated with in-hospital mortality (OR = 1.80,

95% CI 1.05–3.09, $p = 0.034$). Patients admitted to ICU showed significant association with in-hospital mortality ($p < 0.001$). Even after controlling for possible confounders, ICU admission remained a significant predictor of in-hospital mortality (OR = 24.38, 95% CI 15.64–38.01, $p < 0.001$), Table 3.

As for laboratory findings, after adjusting for possible confounders, in-hospital mortality was significantly associated with abnormal values of CRP (OR = 1.85, 95%

Table 3 In-hospital mortality rate (%) in adult hospitalized Covid-19 patients according to some personal characteristics, comorbidities and laboratory findings

	Inhospital mortality No (%)	cOR (95% CI)	P value	aOR (95% CI)	P-value
A. Personal characteristics					
Gender					
Female	276 (7.5)	1		1	
Male	462 (13.7)	1.95 (1.67–2.28)	< 0.001*	1.05 (0.75–1.48)	0.76
Age (years)					
< 30	10 (1.2)	1		1	
30–	59 (3.1)	2.51 (1.28–4.93)*	0.006*	1.40 (0.37–5.23)	0.62
50–	306 (11.4)	10.24 (5.43–19.32)*	< 0.001*	3.15 (0.89–11.14)	0.08
70 or more	363 (22.5)	23.07 (12.23–43.51)*	< 0.001*	6.93 (1.94–24.79)	0.003*
BMI (kg/m ²)					
< 25	166 (17.6)	1		1	
25–	213 (15.3)	0.85 (0.68–1.06)	0.14	1.22 (0.78–1.90)	0.40
30 or more	302 (12.4)	0.66 (0.54–0.82)*	0.001*	1.25 (0.81–1.94)	0.31
B. Comorbidities					
Diabetes					
No	493 (9.7)	1		1	
Yes	245 (12.4)	1.32 (1.12–1.55)*	0.001	1.04 (0.71–1.52)	0.85
Hypertension					
No	510 (9.5)	1		1	
Yes	228 (13.9)	1.54 (1.30–1.82)*	< 0.001	0.78 (0.52–1.16)	0.22
Ischemic heart disease					
No	669 (10.1)	1		1	
Yes	69 (17.5)	1.89 (1.44–2.48)*	< 0.001	1.80 (1.05–3.09)*	0.034*
Cancer					
No	677 (10.3)	1		1	
Yes	61 (13.8)	1.40 (1.06–1.86)*	0.018*	1.73 (0.99–3.02)	0.053
COPD					
No	726 (10.4)	1		1	
Yes	12 (21.8)	2.40 (1.26–4.58)*	0.006*	0.60 (0.19–1.90)	0.38
Asthma					
No	720 (10.5)	1		1	
Yes	18 (9.0)	0.84 (0.52–1.38)	0.50	1.41(0.60–3.37)	0.44
ICU adm					
No	165 (3.0)	1		1	
Yes	573 (38.7)	20.58 (17.08–24.81)*	< 0.001*	24.38 (15.64–38.01)*	< 0.001*
C. Laboratory findings					
CRP					
Normal	43 (4.6)	1		1	
Abnormal	549 (14.9)	3.62 (2.63–4.98)*	< 0.001*	1.85 (1.08–3.16)*	0.024*
D-Dimer					
Normal	36 (2.7)	1		1	
Abnormal	583 (16.2)	7.06 (5.01–9.95)*	< 0.001*	1.96 (1.15–3.36)*	0.014*
Ferritin					
Normal	87 (5.1)	1		1	
Abnormal	564 (15.9)	3.50 (2.77–4.42)*	< 0.001*	1.40 (0.91–2.16)	0.13
LDH					
Normal	13 (1.7)	1		1	
Abnormal	656 (14.7)	9.97 (5.73–17.36)*	< 0.001*	2.56 (0.82–7.98)	0.10

Table 3 (continued)

	Inhospital mortality No (%)	cOR (95% CI)	P value	aOR (95% CI)	P-value
Lymphocytes					
Normal	267 (6.3)	1		1	
Decreased	400 (21.5)	4.06 (3.44–4.79)*	< 0.001*	2.76 (2.03–3.376)*	< 0.001*
Increased	4 (7.5)	1.21 (0.43–3.38)		0.000	0.99
Neutrophils					
Normal	279 (7.0)	1		1	
Increased	368 (25.3)	4.49 (3.79–5.32)*	< 0.001*	2.10 (1.54–2.87)*	< 0.001*
Decreased	28 (4.0)	0.55 (0.37–0.82)		1.04 (0.45–2.41)	0.93
Procalcitonin					
Normal	32 (3.5)	1		1	
Abnormal	564 (18.7)	6.30 (4.38–9.08)*	< 0.001*	3.33 (1.88–5.90)*	< 0.001*

cOR: crude odds ratio; aOR: adjusted odds ratio; *Statistically significant, LDH: Lactate Dehydrogenase, CRP: c-reactive protein; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

CI 1.08–3.16, $p=0.024$), D-dimer (OR=1.96, 95% CI 1.15–3.36, $p=0.014$), procalcitonin (OR=3.33, 95% CI 1.88–5.90, $p<0.001$), low lymphocyte count (OR=2.76, 95% CI 2.03–3.376, $p<0.001$), and high neutrophil count (OR=2.10, 95% CI 1.54–2.87, $p<0.001$), Table 3.

The ROC curves for age, CRP, D-dimer, procalcitonin, lymphocyte count and neutrophil count were applied to better predict in-hospital mortality. The best cut-off point for age was 64 years with a sensitivity of 64% and specificity of 60%, and AUC=69%. The best laboratory findings cut-off points for prediction of in-hospital mortality were 72.25 mg/L for CRP, > 1125 µg/L for D-dimer, > 5,745 for neutrophils count, < 1.10 for the lymphocytic count and > 0.18 for procalcitonin, Table 4.

Discussion

Worldwide, a case fatality rate of 3.5% was estimated for Covid-19 [22], and this rate was similar to that of Spanish influenza (2–3%) but much higher than that of seasonal influenza (0.1%) [23]. Variable mortality rates for Covid-19, ranging from 18.9% to 0.1% [24], have been reported in different countries. The overall case fatality rate was

high in Belgium [25], in US [26], and in UK [27]. Our study showed an overall case fatality rate of 3.1%, a figure that is comparable to figures in EMR countries (3.8%, 95% CI 3.8–3.9%) [24], yet much higher than the figures reported for the GCC countries (0.6%, 95% CI 0.55–0.65%) [28]. It was also comparable to rates in China [29] and Italy [30]. Worldwide, over 80% of COVID-19 cases have mild symptoms; however 10 and 20% of COVID-19 cases proceed to a severe stage [31]. This pattern was similar to the situation shown in our study, where 24.3% of all patients with Covid-19 were hospitalized because of moderate to severe symptoms, while 75.7% of cases did not need hospitalization, probably because of their mild symptoms.

Reported mortality rates of COVID-19 patients are in the range of 20–40% for hospitalised patients and 30–88% for critically-ill or ICU patients with substantial differences between countries and regions [32]. This diversity was attributed to the different strategies of referral to hospital, admission strategies and decisions on treatment withdrawal [32]. In-hospital mortality rate among hospitalized cases, in our study, was 9.7%. The incidence

Table 4 Accuracy of predictors of in-hospital mortality in Covid-19 adult hospitalized patients as determined by the receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC)

Predictors	Cut-off value	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)	AUC
Age (years)	64	0.68 [0.65–0.71]	0.71 [0.69–0.72]	0.69
CRP (mg/L)	72.25	0.62 [0.58–0.65]	0.66 [0.65–0.68]	0.64
D-dimer (µg/L)	1125	0.73 [0.70–0.76]	0.66 [0.64–0.67]	0.75
Lymphocytes ($\times 10^9/L$)	1.10	0.65 [0.61–0.68]	0.68 [0.67–0.70]	0.72
Neutrophils ($\times 10^9/L$)	5,745	0.66 [0.63–0.69]	0.67 [0.65–0.68]	0.70
Procalcitonin (ng/mL)	0.18	0.71 [0.68–0.74]	0.70 [0.68–0.72]	0.76

AUC: area under the curve; CI: confidence interval

of fatalities in many developing countries appeared to be low in the early stages of the pandemic, due to their relatively younger age structure, poor vital statistics systems leading to underreporting of COVID-19 deaths, and lower access to good-quality healthcare [33]. However, this figure, in our study, is high when compared with the counterpart figure of 1.63% in Abu Dhabi, UAE [34].

ICU utilization may be viewed as an indicator of the quality of healthcare delivered. The global rates of 20–30% of Covid-19 ICU admissions were reported [35]. The overall rate of ICU admission in KSA in all regions for all COVID-19 patients who were admitted to hospital based on MOH criteria is almost was 50% [36]. In our study, this rate of ICU admission was 20.3% of all hospitalized patients. It was high compared to a rate of only 7.68% patients in Abu Dhabi. ICU admission rate is a sensitive indicator for the severity of the illness. In our study, the rate of in-hospital mortality among ICU admitted cases was 38.7%, a rate which is nearly two times the rate of 19.56% in Abu Dhabi. This difference might be attributed to the difference in the strategy of hospitalization in the two countries, where in Abu Dhabi, initially, all people with a positive PCR were admitted regardless of clinical presentation for isolation and monitoring, a strategy that Saudi Arabia didn't practice.

Patients' characteristics, comorbidities and laboratory values have been reported and considered in the recent guidelines for the management of Covid-19 [35, 37–39]. Age was reported as the most significant predictor of mortality in patients with Covid-19 [40–50]. In our study, the old age of 70 or more was the only significant personal factor associated with in-hospital mortality among hospitalized Covid-19 patients. Older patients showed higher tendency to progress to severe Covid-19 illness than relatively younger patients [21], and this was attributed to functional defects in immune cells, leading to inability to suppress viral replication, as age advances [45–47]. In our study, age of > 64 was the significant cut-off age for the prediction of in-hospital mortality from Covid-19. This finding was in agreement with the finding of a cut-off value of ≥ 65 years reported in a previous study [51].

Some studies reported that obesity was a significant predictor of mortality from Covid-19 [52–54]. However, in our research, adjusting for other possible confounders, obesity was not amongst the predictors of mortality. This finding was in agreement with the results of Stefan et al. [25]. The association of obesity with lower mortality could be attributed to the possible natural protective effect of adipose tissue [55, 56], or to the lower levels of proinflammatory cytokines in obese subjects.

Hypertension, diabetes, and coronary heart disease were the most common comorbidities among Covid-19

patients, in our study. These findings are similar to the common comorbidities observed in the US, Italy, China, and UAE [34, 57, 58]. The following comorbidities were reported as key predictors for Covid-cases progression: Diabetes mellitus [59–61], hypertension [60–63], COPD [59], and Ischemic heart disease [43]. In our study, of all these comorbidities, ischaemic heart disease was the only significant predictor of in-hospital mortality, and Covid-19 cases who suffered from ischemic heart disease were two times more likely to end with in-hospital mortality. In a nested case–control study to evaluate the risk of pre-existing comorbidities on Covid-19 mortality [64], in-hospital mortality was three times more likely to occur among cases with pre-existing cardiac disease than those without. Early medical intervention is necessary for Covid-19 patients with pre-existing comorbidities, especially cardiac disease.

In terms of laboratory results, the following abnormal laboratory findings were reported as key predictors for Covid-19 cases progression; D-dimer [59, 60, 65–68], CRP [59, 64, 67–71], LDH [60, 72–76], lymphocytes [59, 60, 62, 68–70, 73, 74, 77], and procalcitonin levels [78]. In our study, in-hospital mortality was significantly associated with abnormal values of CRP, with a cut-off of 72.25 mg/L (AUC=0.64). CRP has been reported as a significant predictor of severe Covid-19 illness [79], and an early marker of infection and inflammation [80]. In our study, D-dimer was a significant predictor of in-hospital mortality, with a cut-off value of $>1125 \mu\text{g/L}$ (AUC=0.75). Increased D-dimer levels was associated with microthrombi formation, resulting from viral management of vascular endothelial cells [81]. Increased procalcitonin levels was associated with severe bacterial infection, and progression to severe condition in Covid-19 patients [78]. In our study, abnormal procalcitonin level was a predictor of, with a cut-off value of $>0.18 \text{ ng/mL}$ (AUC=0.76).

The pathogenesis of highly pathogenic human coronavirus is still not completely understood. Cytokine storm and viral evasion of cellular immune responses are thought to play important roles in disease severity [82]. Low lymphotic count was a significant predictor of in-hospital mortality, with a cut-off value of $<1.10 \times 10^9/\text{L}$ (AUC=0.72). Lymphocytes were reported to help clear SARS-CoV, and a suboptimal T-cell response was found to cause pathological changes observed in mice with SARS-CoV [83]. High neutrophil count was a significant predictor of in-hospital mortality as well, with a cut-off value of $>5,745 \times 10^9/\text{L}$ (AUC=0.70). Neutrophils are the main source of chemokines and cytokines. The generation of cytokine storm can lead to ARDS, which is a leading cause of death in patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome^r and Middle East respiratory

syndrome [84]. However, further studies are needed to characterize the role of the neutrophil and lymphocyte response in SARS-CoV-2 infection. These indicators would help in early prediction and guide for proper, timely treatment.

Limitations

This study has some limitations. First, it is a retrospective one, and the causal relationship between abnormal clinical/ laboratory indicators and death is not guaranteed. Second, the data were collected from hospitals affiliated with one institution (MNG-HA) in Saudi Arabia. However, these hospitals are five large tertiary, JCI-accredited hospitals across the whole Saudi Arabia. Moreover, the strategy of managing Covid-19 patients is the same in these tertiary hospitals, which would avoid the competing mortality risk caused by insufficient healthcare resources. Third, because not all patient profiles were complete, however, with a large cohort of more than 35 thousand patients in this study, we assumed that missing data are missing at random. Lastly, different clinical features or mechanisms for the disease progression might be promoted with new mutants SARS-coV-2. Thus, further prospective studies are needed to validate the present study's findings.

Conclusion

This study is one of the first observational studies in the EMR to determine the outcomes of Covid-19 disease and identify the key predictors of in-hospital mortality, with a large cohort of Covid-19 patients. The rates of hospitalization, ICU admission, in-hospital and overall mortality are nearly comparable to the counterpart rates in western countries. Older patients are more likely to die from Covid-19 illness than those of younger age. A pre-existing history of ischemic heart disease was the only comorbidity that predicts in-hospital mortality from Covid-19. Early interventions are required for Covid-19 patients with comorbidities, especially cardiac diseases. Careful monitoring of laboratory parameters in Covid-19 illness is necessary, with special attention to CRP, D-dimer, procalcitonin values, and lymphocyte and neutrophil counts.

Abbreviations

RT-PCR: Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction; JCI: Joint Commission International; ER: Emergency department; MOH: Ministry of Health; ICUL: Intensive care unit; CRP: C-reactive protein; LDH: Lactate Dehydrogenase; IQR: Interquartile range; CI: Confidence interval; OR: Odds ratio; COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ROC: Receiver operating characteristic curve; AUC: Area under the curve; EMR: Eastern Mediterranean Region; GCC: Gulf Cooperation Council; UAE: United Arab Emirates; KAMC: King Abdulaziz Medical city; MNG-HA: Ministry of National Guard-Health Affairs; Covid-19: Coronavirus disease 2019; SARS-CoV-2: Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2; WHO: World Health Organization; IHD: Ischemic heart disease;

CFR: Case fatality rate; ER: Emergency department; ICU: Intensive care unit; IRB: Institutional Review Board.

Acknowledgements

This study was initiated by King Abdullah International Medical Research Center, King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. The final draft of the manuscript was English language edited by Macmillan Science Communication.

Author contributions

MAA and MB contributed to concept development, manuscript preparation and final writing, SA, AM and MA contributed to concept development and data collection, MAA and MB contributed to concept development statistical analysis and manuscript finalization, and MB, SA and MAA contributed to research proposal writing, analysis and interpretation. MAA, MB, SA, AM and MA contributed to manuscript drafting. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

None.

Availability of data and materials

Most of the data supporting our findings is contained within the manuscript, and all others, excluding identifying/confidential patient data, will be shared upon request, by contacting the corresponding author [Mostafa Abolfotouh, mabolfotouh@gmail.com].

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the institutional review board (IRB) of the Ministry of National Guard-Health Affairs (MNG-HA) in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia (NRC21R/445/10). Participation in this study was voluntary. The requirement for informed consent was waived by the IRB of the MNG-HA, because of the retrospective nature of the study. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹King Abdullah International Medical Research Center, Mail Code 3533, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. ²King Saud Bin-Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences (KSAU-HS), Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. ³King Abdulaziz Medical City, Ministry of National Guard-Health Affairs, POB 22490, Riyadh 11426, Saudi Arabia.

Received: 20 March 2022 Accepted: 13 December 2022

Published online: 17 December 2022

References

- World Health Organization. Coronavirus [Internet]. [cited 2022 Jan 4]. https://www.who.int/health-topics/coronavirus#tab=tab_1
- Moledina SM, Maini AA, Gargan A, Harland W, Jenney H, Phillips G, et al. Clinical characteristics and predictors of mortality in patients with COVID-19 infection outside intensive care. *Int J Gen Med.* 2020;13:1157–65.
- Yadaw AS, Li Y-C, Bose S, Iyengar R, Bunyavanich S, Pandey G. Clinical predictors of COVID-19 mortality. medRxiv. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.19.20103036>.
- Lippi G, Wong J, Henry BM. Hypertension in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a pooled analysis. *Polish Arch Intern Med.* 2020;130(4):304–9.
- Figliozzi S, Masci PG, Ahmadi N, Tondi L, Koutli E, Aimo A, et al. Predictors of adverse prognosis in COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Clin Invest.* 2020;50(10):e13362. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eci.13362>.

6. Aksel G, İslam MM, Algin A, Eroğlu SE, Yaşar GB, Ademoğlu E, et al. Early predictors of mortality for moderate to severely ill patients with Covid-19. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2021;45:290–6.
7. Albalawi O, Alharbi Y, Bakouri M, Alqahtani A, Alanazi T, Almutairi AZ, et al. Clinical characteristics and predictors of mortality among COVID-19 patients in Saudi Arabia. *J Infect Public Health.* 2021;14(8):994–1000.
8. Tian W, Jiang W, Yao J, Nicholson CJ, Li RH, Sigurslid HH, et al. Predictors of mortality in hospitalized COVID-19 patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Med Virol.* 2020;92(10):1875–83. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.26050>.
9. Alharthy A, Aletreby W, Faqih F, Balhamar A, Alaklobi F, Alanezi K, et al. Clinical characteristics and predictors of 28-Day mortality in 352 critically ill patients with COVID-19: a retrospective study. *J Epidemiol Glob Health.* 2021;11(1):98–108.
10. Palaiodimos L, Kokkinidis DG, Li W, Karamanis D, Ognibene J, Arora S, et al. Severe obesity, increasing age and male sex are independently associated with worse in-hospital outcomes, and higher in-hospital mortality, in a cohort of patients with COVID-19 in the Bronx, New York. *Metabolism.* 2020;108:154262.
11. NYC Health. Age-adjusted rates of lab confirmed COVID-19 non-hospitalized cases, estimated non-fatal hospitalized cases, and patients known to have died 100,000 by race/ethnicity group as of April 16, 2020. <https://www1.nyc.gov/assets/doh/downloads/pdf/imm/covid-19-deaths-race-ethnicity-04162020-1.pdf>. Accessed 15 May 2020
12. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. COVID-19 in Racial and Ethnic Minority Groups: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2020. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/racial-ethnic-minorities.html>. Accessed 4 May 2020.
13. Alwafi H, Naser AY, Qanash S, Brinji AS, Ghazawi MA, Alotaibi B, et al. Predictors of length of hospital stay, mortality, and outcomes among hospitalized COVID-19 patients in Saudi Arabia: a cross-sectional study. *J Multidiscip Healthc.* 2021;14:839.
14. Foster HM, Ho FK, Mair FS, Jani BD, Sattar N, Katikireddi SV, Pell JP, Niedzwiedz CL, Hastie CE, Anderson JJ, Nicholl BJ. The association between a lifestyle score, socioeconomic status, and COVID-19 outcomes within the UK Biobank cohort. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2022;22(1):1–3.
15. Mena GE, Martinez PP, Mahmud AS, Marquet PA, Buckee CO, Santillana M. Socioeconomic status determines COVID-19 incidence and related mortality in Santiago, Chile. *Science.* 2021;372(6545):eabg5298.
16. Faramarzi A, Javan-Noughabi J, Mousavi SA, Bahrami Asl F, Shabanikiya H. Socioeconomic status and COVID-19-related cases and fatalities in the world: a cross-sectional ecological study. *Health Sci Rep.* 2022;5(3): e628. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hsr2.628>.
17. Abohmr SI, Abazid RM, Aldossari MA, Amer HA, Badhawi OS, Aljunaidi OM, et al. Clinical characteristics and in-hospital mortality of COVID-19 adult patients in Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Med J.* 2020;41(11):1217.
18. Alswaidi FM, Assiri AM, Alhaqbani HH, Alalawi MM. Characteristics and outcome of COVID-19 cases in Saudi Arabia: review of six-months of data (March–August 2020). *Saudi Pharm J SPJ.* 2021;29(7):682.
19. Abolfotouh MA, Almutairi AF, BaniMustafa AA, et al. Perception and attitude of healthcare workers in Saudi Arabia with regard to Covid-19 pandemic and potential associated predictors. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2020;20:719. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-020-05443-3>.
20. Saudi MoH Protocol for Patients Suspected of/Confirmed with COVID-19 Supportive care and antiviral treatment of suspected or confirmed COVID-19 infection. [cited 2022 Jan 5]; Available from: <https://covid19.cdc.gov.sa/pr>
21. Alhazzani W, Alshahrani M, Alshamsi F, Aljuhani O, Eljaaly K, Hashim S, et al. The Saudi Critical Care Society practice guidelines on the management of COVID-19 in the ICU: Therapy section. *J Infect Public Health.* 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2021.10.005>.
22. Chang MC, Park YK, Kim BO, Park D. Risk factors for disease progression in COVID-19 patients. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2020;20(1):445. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-020-05144-x>.
23. Gates B. Responding to Covid-19—a once-in-a-century pandemic? *N Engl J Med.* 2020;382(18):1677–9.
24. Johns Hopkins University & Medicine. Mortality Analyses. 2020. <https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/data/mortality>. Accessed 2 May 2020.
25. Stefan N, Birkenfeld AL, Schulze MB, Ludwig DS. Obesity and impaired metabolic health in patients with COVID-19. *Nat Rev Endocrinol.* 2020;16(7):341–2. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-020-0364-6>.
26. Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, Crawford JM, McGinn T, Davidson KW, the Northwell COVID-19 Research Consortium, Barnaby DP, Becker LB, Chelico JD, Cohen SL, Cookingham J, Coppa K, Diefenbach MA, Dominello AJ, Duer-Hefele J, Falzon L, Gitlin J, Hajizadeh N, Harvin TG, Hirschwerk DA, Kim EJ, Kozel ZM, Marrast LM, Mogavero JN, Osorio GA, Qiu M, Zanos TP. Presenting characteristics, comorbidities, and outcomes among 5700 patients hospitalized with COVID-19 in the New York City Area. *JAMA.* 2020;323(20):2052–9. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.6775>. Erratum in: *JAMA.* 2020 May 26;323(20):2098.
27. Tomlins J, Hamilton F, Gunning S, Sheehy C, Moran E, MacGowan A. Clinical features of 95 sequential hospitalised patients with novel coronavirus 2019 disease (COVID-19), the first UK cohort. *J Infect.* 2020;81(2):e59–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.04.020>. (Epub 2020 Apr 27).
28. BaHammam AS, Bindayna KM, Joji RM, Jahrami H, Faris MAE, Bragazzi NL. Outcomes of COVID-19 in the Eastern Mediterranean Region in the first 4 months of the pandemic. *Saudi Med J.* 2020;41(9):907–15. <https://doi.org/10.15537/smj.2020.9.25320>.
29. Fu L, Wang B, Yuan T, Chen X, Ao Y, Fitzpatrick T, Li P, Zhou Y, Lin YF, Duan Q, Luo G, Fan S, Lu Y, Feng A, Zhan Y, Liang B, Cai W, Zhang L, Du X, Li L, Shu Y, Zou H. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in China: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Infect.* 2020;80(6):656–65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.03.041>. (Epub 2020 Apr 10).
30. Giacomelli A, Ridolfo AL, Milazzo L, Oreni L, Bernacchia D, Siano M, Bonazzeletti C, Covizzi A, Schiuma M, Passerini M, Piscaglia M, Coen M, Gubertini G, Rizzardini G, Cogliati C, Brambilla AM, Colombo R, Castelli A, Rech R, Riva A, Torre A, Meroni L, Rusconi S, Antinori S, Galli M. 30-day mortality in patients hospitalized with COVID-19 during the first wave of the Italian epidemic: a prospective cohort study. *Pharmacol Res.* 2020;158: 104931. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phrs.2020.104931>. (Epub 2020 May 22).
31. Wang Y, Wang Y, Chen Y, Qin Q. Unique epidemiological and clinical features of the emerging 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia (COVID-19) implicate special control measures. *J Med Virol.* 2020;92(6):568–76.
32. Rieg S, von Cube M, Kalbhenn J, Utzolino S, Pernice K, Bechet L, Baur J, Lang CN, Wagner D, Wolkewitz M, Kern WV, Biever P. COVID UKF Study Group. COVID-19 in-hospital mortality and mode of death in a dynamic and non-restricted tertiary care model in Germany. *PLoS ONE.* 2020;15(11):e0242127. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242127>.
33. Levin AT, Owusu-Boaitey N, Pugh S, et al. Assessing the burden of COVID-19 in developing countries: systematic review, meta-analysis and public policy implications. *BMJ Glob Health.* 2022;7: e008477. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2022-008477>.
34. Al Harbi M, Al Kaabi N, Al Nuaimi A, Abdalla J, Khan T, Gasmelseed H, Khan A, Hamdoun O, Weber S. Clinical and laboratory characteristics of patients hospitalised with COVID-19: clinical outcomes in Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2022;22(1):136. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-022-07059-1>.
35. Nicola M, O'Neill N, Sohrabi C, et al. Evidence based management guideline for the COVID-19 pandemic—review article. *Int J Surg.* 2020;77:206–16.
36. Alharbi AA, Alqassim AY, Gosadi IM, Aqeeli AA, Muaddi MA, Makeen AM, Alhazmi AH, Alharbi AA. Regional differences in COVID-19 ICU admission rates in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: a simulation of the new model of care under vision 2030. *J Infect Public Health.* 2021;14(6):717–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2021.04.012>. (Epub 2021 May 12).
37. Guan WJ, Liang WH, Zhao Y, Liang HR, Chen ZS, Li YM, et al. Comorbidity and its impact on 1590 patients with Covid-19 in China: a Nationwide analysis. *Eur Respir J.* 2020;55:2001227.
38. Gao Y, Li T, Han M, et al. Diagnostic utility of clinical laboratory data determinations for patients with the severe COVID-19. *J Med Virol.* 2020;92(7):791–6.
39. World Health Organization. 2020. [www.who.org/https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/332196/WHO-2019-nCoV-clinical-2020-5-eng.pdf](https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/332196/WHO-2019-nCoV-clinical-2020-5-eng.pdf)
40. Ruan Q, Yang K, Wang W, Jiang L, Song J. Clinical predictors of mortality due to COVID-19 based on an analysis of data of 150 patients from Wuhan, China. *Intensive Care Med.* 2020;46:846–8.
41. Porcheddu R, Serra C, Kelvin D, Kelvin N, Rubino S. Similarity in case fatality rates (CFR) of COVID-19/SARS-COV-2 in Italy and China. *J Infect Dev Ctries.* 2020;14(2):125–8.

42. Shi S, Qin M, Shen B, Cai Y, Liu T, Yang F, Gong W, Liu X, Liang J, Zhao Q, et al. Association of cardiac injury with mortality in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2020;5(7):802–10.
43. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, Xiang J, Wang Y, Song B, Gu X, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet.* 2020;395(10229):1054–62.
44. Casqueiro J, Casqueiro J, Alves C. Infections in patients with diabetes mellitus: a review of pathogenesis. *Ind J Endocrinol Metab.* 2012;16(Suppl 1):S27–36.
45. Bektas A, Schurman SH, Sen R, Ferrucci L. Human T cell immunosenescence and inflammation in aging. *J Leukoc Biol.* 2017;102(4):977–88.
46. Chung HY, Kim DH, Lee EK, Chung KW, Chung S, Lee B, Seo AY, Chung JH, Jung YS, Im E, et al. Redefining chronic inflammation in aging and age-related diseases: proposal of the Senoinflammation concept. *Aging Dis.* 2019;10(2):367–82.
47. Salam N, Rane S, Das R, Faulkner M, Gund R, Kandpal U, Lewis V, Mattoo H, Prabhu S, Ranganathan V, et al. T cell ageing: effects of age on development, survival & function. *Indian J Med Res.* 2013;138(5):595–608.
48. Aggarwal S, Garcia-Telles N, Aggarwal G et al. Clinical features, laboratory characteristics, and outcomes of patients hospitalized with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): early report from the United States. *Diagnosis.* 2020;7(2):91–6.
49. Fu L, Wang B, Yuan T, Chen X, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in China: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Infect.* 2020;80(6):656–65.
50. Covino M, De Matteis G, Della Polla DA, Santoro M, Burzo ML, Torelli E, Simeoni B, Russo A, Sandroni C, Gasbarrini A, Franceschi F. Predictors of in-hospital mortality AND death RISK STRATIFICATION among COVID-19 PATIENTS aged \geq 80 YEARS OLD. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* 2021;1(95):104383.
51. Du R-H, Liang L-R, Yang C-Q, Wang W, Cao T-Z, Li M, et al. Predictors of mortality for patients with COVID-19 pneumonia caused by SARS-CoV-2: a prospective cohort study. *Eur Respir J.* 2020;55:2000524. <https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00524-2020>.
52. Verity R, Okell LC, Dorigatti I, Winskill P, Whittaker C, Imai N, et al. Estimates of the severity of coronavirus disease 2019: a model-based analysis. *Lancet.* 2020;20:669–767.
53. Dietz W, Santos-Burgoa C. Obesity and its implications for COVID-19 mortality. *Obesity.* 2020;28:1005.
54. Schetz M, De Jong A, Deane AM, Druml W, Hemelaar P, Pelosi P, Pickkers P, Reintam-Blaser A, Roberts J, Sakr Y, Jaber S. Obesity in the critically ill: a narrative review. *Intensive Care Med.* 2019;45(6):757–69. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-019-05594-1>. (Epub 2019 Mar 19).
55. Nie W, Zhang Y, Jee SH, Jung KJ, Li B, Xiu Q. Obesity survival paradox in pneumonia: a meta-analysis. *BMC Med.* 2014;10(12):61. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7015-12-61>.
56. Stapleton RD, Dixon AE, Parsons PE, Ware LB, Suratt BT, NHLBI Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Network. The association between BMI and plasma cytokine levels in patients with acute lung injury. *Chest.* 2010;138(3):568–77. <https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.10-0014>. (Epub 2010 Apr 30).
57. Lippi G, Mattiuzzi C, Sanchis-Gomar F, et al. Clinical and demographic characteristics of patients dying from COVID-19 in Italy vs China. *J Med Virol.* 2020;92(10):1759–60.
58. Myers L, Parodi S, Escobar G, et al. Characteristics of hospitalized adults with COVID-19. *JAMA.* 2020;323(21):2195–8.
59. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet.* 2020;395(10229):1054–62. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30566-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3).
60. Liu J, Li S, Liu J, Liang B, Wang X, Wang H, et al. Longitudinal characteristics of lymphocyte responses and cytokine profiles in the peripheral blood of SARS-CoV-2 infected patients. *EBioMedicine.* 2020;55:102763. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2020.102763>.
61. Muniyappa R, Gubbi S. COVID-19 pandemic, coronaviruses, and diabetes mellitus. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.* 2020;318:E736–41.
62. Li J, Li M, Zheng S, Li M, Zhang M, Sun M, et al. Plasma albumin levels predict risk for nonsurvivors in critically ill patients with COVID-19. *Biomark Med.* 2020;14(10):827–37. <https://doi.org/10.2217/bmm-2020-0254>. (Epub 2020 Jun 3).
63. Covino M, De Matteis G, Burzo ML, Santoro M, Fuorlo M, Sabia L, Sandroni C, Gasbarrini A, Franceschi F, Gambassi G, Gemelli Against COVID-19 Group. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin II receptor blockers and prognosis of hypertensive patients hospitalised with COVID-19. *Intern Med J.* 2020;50(12):1483–91.
64. Gu T, Chu Q, Yu Z, Fa B, Li A, Xu L, Wu R, He Y. History of coronary heart disease increased the mortality rate of patients with COVID-19: a nested case-control study. *BMJ Open.* 2020;10(9):e038976. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-038976>.
65. Tang N, Li D, Wang X, Sun Z. Abnormal coagulation parameters are associated with poor prognosis in patients with novel coronavirus pneumonia. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2020;18(4):844–7.
66. Zhang L, Yan X, Fan Q, Liu H, Liu X, Liu Z, et al. D-dimer levels on admission to predict in-hospital mortality in patients with Covid-19. *J Thromb Haemost.* <https://www.onlinelibrary>. Accessed 25 Apr 2020
67. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet.* 2020;395(10223):497–506.
68. Yang W, Cao Q, Qin L, Wang X, Cheng Z, Pan A, et al. Clinical characteristics and imaging manifestations of the 2019 novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19): a multi-centre study in Wenzhou city, Zhejiang, China. *J Infect.* 2020;80(4):388–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.02.016>.
69. Qin C, Zhou L, Hu Z, Zhang S, Yang S, Tao Y, et al. Dysregulation of immune response in patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2020;27(15):762–8. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa248>.
70. Liu F, Li L, Xu M, Wu J, Luo D, Zhu Y, et al. Prognostic value of interleukin6, creatinine protein, and procalcitonin in patients with COVID-19. *J Clin Virol.* 2020;127(April): 104370.
71. Ferrari D, Motta A, Strollo M, Banfi G, Locatelli M. Routine blood tests as a potential diagnostic tool for COVID-19. *Clin Chem Lab Med (CCLM).* 2020;58(7):1095–9. <https://doi.org/10.1515/cclm-2020-0398>.
72. Ji W, Bishnu G, Cai Z, Shen X. Analysis clinical features of COVID-19 infection in secondary epidemic area and report potential biomarkers in evaluation. *medRxiv* 2020; 2020.03.10.20033613
73. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA J Am Med Assoc.* 2020;323(11):1061–9. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.1585>.
74. Feng C, Huang Z, Wang L, Chen X, Zhai Y, Zhu F, et al. A novel triage tool of artificial intelligence assisted diagnosis aid system for suspected COVID-19 pneumonia in fever clinics. *medRxiv.* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.19.20039099v1>.
75. Mo P, Xing Y, Xiao Y, Deng L, Zhao Q, Wang H, Xiong Y, Cheng Z, Gao S, Liang K, Luo M, Chen T, Song S, Ma Z, Chen X, Zheng R, Cao Q, Wang F, Zhang Y. Clinical characteristics of refractory coronavirus disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2021;73(11):e4208–13. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa270>. PMID:32173725;PMCID:PMC7184444.
76. Luo W, Lin Y, Yao X, Shi Y, Lu F, Wang Z, et al. Clinical findings of 35 cases with novel coronavirus pneumonia outside of Wuhan. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-22554/v1>.
77. Diao B, Wang C, Tan Y, Chen X, Liu Y, Ning L, et al. Reduction and functional exhaustion of T cells in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Front Immunol.* 2020;11:827. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.00827>.
78. Liu W, Yang C, Liao YG, Wan F, Lin L, Huang X, Zhang BH, Yuan Y, Zhang P, Zhang XJ, She ZG, Wang L, Li H. Risk factors for COVID-19 progression and mortality in hospitalized patients without pre-existing comorbidities. *J Infect Public Health.* 2022;15(1):13–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2021.11.012>. (Epub 2021 Nov 18).
79. Wang G, Wu C, Zhang Q, et al. C-reactive protein level may predict the risk of COVID-19 aggravation. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofaa153>.
80. Ali N. Elevated level of C-reactive protein may be an early marker to predict risk for severity of COVID-19. *J Med Virol.* 2020;92(11):2409–11. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.26097>. (Epub 2020 Jun 9).
81. Varga Z, Flammer AJ, Steiger P, Haberecker M, Andermatt R, Zinkernagel AS, et al. Endothelial cell infection and endotheliitis in COVID-19. *Lancet.* 2020;395:1417–8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30937-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30937-5).
82. Wong RS, Wu A, To KF, Lee N, Lam CW, Wong CK, Chan PK, Ng MH, Yu LM, Hui DS, Tam JS. Haematological manifestations in patients

with severe acute respiratory syndrome: retrospective analysis. *BMJ*. 2003;326(7403):1358–62.

83. Liu X, Zhang R, He G. Hematological findings in coronavirus disease 2019: indications of progression of disease. *Ann Hematol*. 2020;99(7):1421–8.
84. Li T, Qiu Z, Han Y, Wang Z, Fan H, Lu W, Xie J, Ma X, Wang A. Rapid loss of both CD4+ and CD8+ T lymphocyte subsets during the acute phase of severe acute respiratory syndrome. *Chin Med J*. 2003;116(07):985–7.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

